1	LAW OFFICES OF GERARD FOX, INC	Ç.
2	GERARD P. FOX (SBN 151649) gfox@gpfoxlaw.com	
3	JUNE L. QUAN (SBN 286779) jquan@gpfoxlaw.com	
4	JEFFREY Z. LIU (SBN 276849) jliu@gpfoxlaw.com	
5	ERIKA E. MORRIS (SBN 279318) emorris@gpfoxlaw.com	
6	1880 Century Park East, Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90067	130CI
7	emorris@gpfoxlaw.com 1880 Century Park East, Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 441-0500 Facsimile: (310) 441-4447	
8	(===) (===)	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS, LLC and RYAN A. BROOKS	18 10: 48
10	and RYAN A. BROOKS	, "32 Ca o
11		
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
12	- FOR THE CENTRAL DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13		STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14		- NOT
15	GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS,	Case No. 1 3 - UZ24 7 - UZS
16	LLC, a California Limited Liability Company and RYAN A, BROOKS, an	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
17	indivîdual,	(1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, ET SEQ.
18	Plaintiffs,	(2) CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
19	vs.	(3) VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
20	DON HANDFIELD, an individual, TRESSA DIFIGLIA HANDFIELD,	(4) BREACH OF CONTRACT
21	an individual, RANDY BROWN, an individual, MICHELE WEISLER, an	(5) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC
22	individual, CHARLES FERRARÓ, an	ADVANTAGE (6) UNJUST ENRICHMENT
23	individual, JAY COHEN, an individual, ROBERT LORENZ, an	(7) CONVERSION (8) VIOLATIONS OF THE
24	individual, UNITED TALENT AGENCY, INC., a California	RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT
25	Corporation, THE GERSH AGENCY, INC., a California Corporation,	(RICO) 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, ET SEQ. (9) VIOLATIONS OF THE
26	WARNER BROS. PICTURES INC., a Delaware Corporation, MALPASO	CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§17200, ET
27	PRODUCTIONS, LTD., a California Corporation, WARNER BROS.	SEQ. (10) FALSE PROMISE
28	DISTRIBUTING INC., a Delaware Corporation, WARNER BROS.	(11) BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING
	HOME ENTERTAINMENT INC., a	(12) ACCOUNTING

1	Delaware Corporation, WARNER BROS. DOMESTIC TELEVISION) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	DISTRIBUTION, INC., a Delaware)
3	Corporation, TW UK HOLDINGS,) INC., a Delaware Corporation, and)
4	DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
5	Defendants.
6	
7	
8	Plaintiffs Gold Glove Productions and Ryan A. Brooks hereby allege the
9	following:
10	INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS
11	1. There are often events within an industry in which massive amoun

- 1. There are often events within an industry in which massive amounts of money are earned that reveal a viral-like infection of greed, lack of ethics, and criminal behavior festering therein. We have seen these infections and their fallout within Wall Street, presently within professional sports, and sometimes, within Hollywood. This case is about a conspiracy to steal the body, structure, theme, and soul of a unique, original, copyrighted screenplay from a production company and its owner and the ensuing attempt to camouflage the stolen screenplay, toss the credits to some of the conspirators, and the profits to those either in on the scam or who recklessly turned a blind eye to benefit from the theft. The conspiracy alleged herein, and related cover-up is unprecedented in nature. The acts alleged were mostly intentional and at other times reckless; and, were carried out in a very covert manner. This was a racket, in the sense of intentional, illegal activity.
- 2. The Plaintiff production company, Gold Glove Productions, has just won an Academy Award®, and is a coming force within the industry. Plaintiff Brooks is real and substantive and a bright light within the industry. From 2005 to 2006, Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions developed an original screenplay to be produced and distributed about an aging father (depicted in earlier treatments as having the cantankerous characteristics of Clint Eastwood) in the last year of his

contract with a baseball organization, fighting a serious health issue, while
suffering from an estranged/awkward relationship with his only child, a daughter
in her early thirties. Following the death of his wife, the father's dedication to his
job in baseball, coupled with the difficulty of raising his young daughter while
working in a male-centered sport, culminated in the emotional gap between the
father and daughter. He missed most of the important events in her life as she was
growing up. The father is irascible, cranky, and unable to communicate easily with
his daughter. He clings to the memory of his deceased wife and makes efforts to
remain close to her even though she has passed. Since his wife died, he lives in a
house that seems empty; it is unkempt and uncared for. The father eats dinner food
for breakfast; drives his old car, including crashing it into the garage; and does
things his own way. He drinks scotch when upset and curses a bit too much. But,
alas, he has a tender side that is revealed in his compassion for a young baseball
player, his pride in his daughter and his desire to see her succeed in life. His health
issue makes him vulnerable and his daughter moves into his life late in his last
baseball season while he attempts to finish his year on a positive note. The
daughter has a love/hate relationship with baseball; but, begrudgingly, is an astute
fan of the game. As the father and daughter are brought together by his health
issue, they awkwardly, but lovingly, reunite with a touching moment in which they
play a bit of baseball together. It is the turning point in their relationship. In the
end, the father triumphs in his baseball world as his daughter and her new love
interest (from within the game), stand behind him. That screenplay described
above is <i>Omaha</i> , written as a specially ordered and requested work-for-hire for
Gold Glove Productions by Don Handfield and Ryan A. Brooks (herein "Brooks"),
the owner of Gold Glove Productions. The counterfeit of that screenplay is the
strikingly similar Trouble with the Curve, which was released by Warner Bros. as a
major motion picture on September 21, 2012.

3. The seeds of the conspiracy alleged herein, which gave rise to the

infringing counterfeit, were sown in late 2008. It was at that time that Gold Glove
Productions took Don Handfield to task for having breached a Writer Agreement
and doing a substandard job on some final polish work on the script, Omaha, while
intentionally disregarding specific written and oral instructions from Plaintiff
Brooks. Charles Ferraro of United Talent Agency was involved in the dispute on
behalf of Don Handfield, whom he represented at the time. There is material
evidence and expert testimony from top experts in this industry supporting the fact
that Don Handfield was then involved in camouflaging Omaha such that it would
become Trouble with the Curve. This striking similarity is very clear to the
ordinary observer. But, the Co-Conspirators did try to dress up their stolen
counterfeit version of the original work. The baseball backdrop was changed from
the life of a college baseball head coach to the life of a major league baseball scout
and a concept from Moneyball was employed to try to hide the theft that was
taking place.

4. Don Handfield's writing style, tics, and persona are like fingerprints and DNA all over *Trouble with the Curve*, which steals the very story noted above from *Omaha*, including aspects from notes by Handfield and Brooks when they worked together on *Omaha* and earlier treatments of *Omaha* (when entitled *Run Down*). Handfield's switch from the college baseball backdrop to a pro scouting setting was an easy one for him: The *Omaha* scripts and treatments were infused with references to pro scouts and he had seen many up close on field trips taken with Brooks to various stadiums. Moreover, he had been told all about them by Brooks, who had been heavily scouted himself in high school and college. Handfield's way of writing, his references to country or honky-tonk bars, his habit of using the word "pissed," his tendency to employ bar fight scenes involving broken beer bottles, his employment of dialogue about past wars or war veterans, his favorite practice of writing scenes which incorporate classic older cars, even if in a beat-up condition, and his use of "family photos" to drive home a character's

reflection on past memories are present in *Trouble with the Curve*, just as they are also in all permutations of *Omaha* that he wrote with Brooks. They are even found in his other works, including, but not necessarily limited to, *Touchback*.

- 5. The steps that followed are shrouded in a scandalous conspiracy. A man named Randy Brown was fraudulently and illegally announced to have conceived and written the father-daughter baseball screenplay outlined above. This man, at age fifty at the time in question, had but two small writing credits to his entire career and was playing in a band that performed at weddings and gigs at places such as Monty's Steak House. Randy Brown is an imposter in his attempt to take the bows for an original work created and owned by others. His few, controlled, public interviews seem rehearsed and are noticeably flabbergasting to interviewers and the reading or listening audience. He does not come close to providing a colorable story of independent creation. He never once explained to anyone the motivation for the father-daughter story that lies at the heart of this drama (though he will no doubt try to contrive one by the time of trial).
- 6. The counterfett, infringing script and published and distributed film, Trouble with the Curve, is set in the world of baseball scouts, instead of college baseball, the backdrop of the original work. Yet, Randy Brown admitted that he had never traveled with any scouts, never worked as a scout or ever formally studied scouts. In fact, he stopped playing baseball at an early age, and while a general fan of Bay Area teams, he is not steeped in baseball knowledge by any stretch. Randy Brown even admitted he could not remember a single name of any scout with whom he supposedly spoke; but, he claims he somehow was able to create an entire story about a world he essentially knew nothing about. His counterfeit scripts provide not a single dedication to a single scout (although this group of unethical Co-Conspirators might manufacture one). Malpaso Productions, Ltd. (which is Clint Eastwood's production company and the producer of Trouble with the Curve), in an effort to cover up Randy Brown's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7. The conspiracy and story evolved to include a producer, Michele Weisler, who had spent most of her career as a below-the-line production manager on horror films. She stated in public interviews that some unnamed friend introduced the virtually unknown writer and wedding singer, Randy Brown, to her and that the two of them rewrote some amorphous romantic comedy that Randy Brown had taken out of a drawer at home (that was never registered with the Copyright Office), and transformed it into a moving father-daughter baseball story, that coincidentally followed the exact structure, themes, plot, and story of the father-daughter baseball story found within *Omaha*. Yet, Michele Weisler neither requested nor received any screenplay credit and Randy Brown, who barely had a credit to his name, received the full (stolen) credit. This is not the custom and practice of this industry. If one were actually involved in a major rewrite of a romantic comedy, transforming it into a dramatic father-daughter piece, under the Writer's Guild of America guidelines, one would qualify for a shared writing credit. Weisler did not take one. She was happy enough with her take from this heist - her first Full Producer credit on a major theatrical release, which is a huge step in her career. For the sake of the conspiracy, she would let the imposter,

Randy Brown, take the credit and all the bows for the counterfeit, infringing script written not by her or Brown, but by Handfield, working off of what he wrote earlier in collaboration with Brooks as a commissioned work-for-hire for Gold Glove Productions.

- 8. For good measure, Don Handfield's agent, Charles Ferraro (at United Talent Agency), was also (or ended up also becoming) the agent for Randy Brown, the guy singing at Monty's Steak House and at weddings.
- 9. In short, Don Handfield helped write the original, copyrighted work *Omaha* for Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions as a requested work-for-hire but had a falling out with its founder and creator of that project, college baseball standout, Plaintiff Brooks. Thus, Don Handfield switched the setting, adjusted the trimmings, and gave birth to an infringing counterfeit version of the same story. Suddenly, a fellow who had been singing at weddings and at venues such as Monty's Steak House was represented by Handfield's own super agent; and, out of nowhere, given credit for the counterfeit and infringing script (and/story).
- which he was having a hard time finding distribution. That film was his attempt to mix science fiction with football, two worlds he knows well. It is called *Touchback*. The Gersh Agency, in addition to being tied into this racket through its biddings for and with Defendant Michele Weisler, began playing a major role in digging Don Handfield's film project, entitled *Touchback*, out of trouble, assuring it was sold and distributed. Defendant Jay Cohen, a former investment banker, played a major role in making this happen and upon information and belief during this time period solidified a relationship with Don Handfield. Upon information and belief, Don Handfield informed Jay Cohen about a baseball script he had written that he felt was ready to be produced; but, that he needed help covering up any effort to use the script, as he had written it as a work-for-hire for Gold Glove Productions. Upon information and belief and certain evidence gathered to date,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- owned production company, Cosmic Entertainment, on the cover of a manufactured "old version" of *Trouble with the Curve*. Cosmic Entertainment was Kurt Russell's former production company, run by Jay Cohen before Cohen joined The Gersh Agency. Kurt Russell "just so happened" to star in *Touchback*. Incidentally (and tellingly), the alleged "old version" of the *Trouble with the Curve* script was never registered with the WGA or copyrighted either. *Touchback* was released at nearly the same time as *Trouble with the Curve*; and, at least one journalist covering the releases of both, attached Don Handfield to both projects. Plaintiffs' experts and investigators make that connection as well.
- 12. Finally, Robert Lorenz, of Malpaso Productions, used this stolen, infringing script to secure his directorial debut. Lorenz, along with Weisler, claims he also helped rewrite the counterfeit script. If three people had supposedly worked together to do a rewrite, Handfield's writing DNA should be practically unnoticeable. However, his writing tics and fingerprints undeniably appear all over the *Trouble with the Curve* screenplay. In his own interviews, Robert Lorenz tells his own versions of how this infringing script came together. It must be kept in mind, that had Defendant Malpaso Productions done the proper thing and approached Gold Glove Productions to purchase the script or to engage in a Co-Production deal, Plaintiff Brooks was already attached to the project as a director and Defendant Lorenz would never have had his chance to direct this film. Lorenz suggested in an interview that he was convinced by his wife to do this film because of the father-daughter story. Yet, none of the Co-Conspirators ever explain the genesis of the father-daughter story in any of their interviews even though it was the heart of both *Trouble with the Curve* and the alleged older version thereof. Of course, it also happens to be the heart of *Omaha*. Upon information and belief, it was Robert Lorenz who sent Malpaso agents out to cover up for Randy Brown

when he would often freely admit in his limited interviews that he could not remember the name of a single baseball scout.

- and *Trouble with the Curve* in their interviews, which is easy to do given their striking similarity. Moreover, *Omaha* had been first copyrighted and registered back in 2006, but the copyrights to the counterfeit work were not registered until fifteen months after *Trouble with the Curve* had been given the green light and the film was about to begin filming. This is unheard of and also not consistent with industry custom and practice.
- 14. The specifics of how and when these deals of betrayal were cut, and between exactly how many parties will be further developed through aggressive and hard hitting discovery together with continued research by a team of investigators. However, top tier experts have already discovered the writing fingerprints of those who drafted the counterfeit, infringing script. That, plus various statements from Defendants in public interviews, expose lies at the center of all of this. In short, the Co-Conspirators tell a sinfully fabricated story that is so utterly full of nonsense that it completely lacks credibility.
- 15. An original work is the property of its owner. To steal it is a crime of law and ethics. This industry and, in part, our system of jurisprudence, has been a bit too lax of late in protecting such a sacred set of rights. The recognition and protection of those rights make up valuable parts of the fabric of our great nation. This case is a clarion call for Hollywood to stop making jokes about "stealing" the works of others and to call out cheaters in this industry the same way some in the world of sports are starting to do. Cheating is cheating, in any walk of life and in any manner, and just because one works behind the curtain of Tinsel Town does not make cheating acceptable or proper, especially for those who have such great influence over our society.
 - 16. The Defendants are high profile players within Hollywood. The

Plaintiff has retained and will present experts with the most stellar credentials and will prove at trial a conspiracy that entails copyright infringement, fraud, a racket, and a cover-up that ran through at least two talent agencies and many powerful players in Hollywood. The evidence will underscore the need for deeper investigations by elected officials into the decay of ethics within the halls of Hollywood.

17. Articles have been written suggesting that the courts of law have become bouncers at the door of justice; thereby preventing victims of such greed and avarice from securing a remedy, and thereby shining a light on the degenerating ethics of this darkening industry. This case will serve as a beacon of light for those who wish to follow in an effort to rid the industry of such corruption. This case is built on evidence, hard facts, persuasive expert opinions, investigative reports, common sense, and the exposed egos of those who believe that grown adults can lie egregiously without getting caught because they think they are invincible.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 18. This is a civil action for violations of the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, et seq., and violations of various related state laws.
- 19. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these federal questions pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 20. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law claims asserted herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 21. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(a). As herein alleged, this action arises out of willful, intentional, and unlawful conduct that each of the Defendants, their agents, or their Co-Conspirators either conducted or expressly targeted in various judicial

districts within the United States and its territories, including this one, and knew or should have known would lead to the infliction of substantial harm in this state and in this judicial district. A substantial part of the transactions, occurrences, and events giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred within this judicial district. Each of the Defendants named herein have minimum contacts with the United States, this state and this county, and are therefore subject to nationwide service of process under 18 U.S.C. § 1965(d). **PARTIES** Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions, LLC (herein "Plaintiff" or "Gold 22. Glove Productions") is a California limited liability company incorporated in 2004 (www.goldgloveproductions.com). It is listed by the California Secretary of State's office as an active business and has its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California. It is an energetic film company dedicated to producing motion pictures that depict fundamental human values. Gold Glove Productions has produced and bolstered distribution for acclaimed films such as The Elephant King, Harvest of Redemption, Slam Planet, and most notably, the recent Academy

Award® winning documentary, *INOCENTE*. Some of the seeds for the original and infringed work, *Omaha*, was planted over thirteen years ago as Brooks, the founder of Gold Glove Productions, was completing the junior year of his college baseball career playing in the College World Series for The University of Texas.

23. This is a picture of Gold Glove Production's logo, designed by Brooks himself:

23

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27



- 24. Plaintiff Ryan A. Brooks (herein "Plaintiff" or "Brooks") is the founder and sole owner of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions. Brooks was a standout baseball player during his childhood, in high school, and through college. After several surgeries prevented Brooks from playing baseball professionally, Brooks chose a career in film. As the founder and sole owner of Gold Glove Productions and collaborator on *Omaha*, Brooks is qualified to receive a shared credit for that of his work performed in cooperation with Don Handfield. Based on a determination by Gold Glove Productions, Brooks was to direct the film *Omaha*.
- 25. Defendant Don Handfield (herein "Handfield" or "Co-Conspirator Handfield") is a screenwriter, novelist, producer, director and owner/partner of The Combine with co-owner/actor Jeremy Renner. The Combine is a production company based in Santa Monica, California. Handfield lives and works in Los Angeles, and from 2005-2006 and in 2008, he worked jointly with Brooks in offices located in Los Angeles, California and on road trips to baseball venues outside of California to write the screenplay *Omaha* as a work-for-hire for Gold Glove Productions. (Handfield first wrote this work-for-hire script for Plaintiff Brooks, and then a later version for Omaha, LLC, Gold Glove Productions' subsidiary company. Both Plaintiff Brooks and Omaha, LLC assigned their rights in and to the work-for-hire scripts and the related copyright registrations to Gold Glove Productions).
- 26. Co-Conspirator Handfield thereafter induced, caused, contributed to and/or encouraged the direct infringement of *Omaha* after a fallout with Gold Glove Productions in late 2008. Upon information and belief, he participated with others in trying to camouflage the theme, the precise father-daughter story, the supporting characters, the plot, the feel and substance of the dialogue, the chosen general backdrop, the mood, and the heart and soul of *Omaha* by writing what became the counterfeit, infringing version thereof: *Trouble with the Curve*. Co-Conspirator Handfield encouraged, induced, caused, contributed to, and aided the

direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad
conspiracy alleged herein. Upon information and belief, Co-Conspirator Handfield
was able to use the stolen and counterfeited version of Omaha in some manner
associated with his securing assistance from other Co-Conspirators in resurrecting
his otherwise dead and going-nowhere film project, Touchback, and for other
remunerations. With the assistance of Co-Conspirator Jay Cohen of The Gersh
Agency (with which Co-Conspirator Michele Weisler is affiliated), Touchback was
not so coincidentally released at approximately the same time as Trouble with the
Curve

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

27. Defendant Randy Brown (herein "Brown" or "Co-Conspirator Brown") was a bit soap actor who, in 2001-2002, worked briefly as an aspiring writer in episodic television where he received two small credits, one of which was shared. He grew up in San Jose Camfornia, quit playing baseball at the age of fifteen and as of the time of the events in question was a member of a little known band called *The Neighbors*, playing at weddings and venues like Monty's Steak House throughout California and Las Vegas. Prior to the events in question, Co-Conspirator Brown had never received any screenplay credits, and has implicitly admitted in his few, limited interviews that he did not do the independent research necessary to write the infringing work *Trouble with the Curve*. Through the events in question, Co-Conspirator Brown was announced as being represented by the same talent agent as Co-Conspirator Handfield, that agent being Co-Conspirator Charles Ferraro at United Talent Agency. Brown participated in, induced, caused, encouraged, contributed to, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein by agreeing to falsely take the sole writing credit for the counterfeit, infringing screenplay, Trouble with the Curve, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. Co-Conspirator Brown lives in Calabasas, California and performed the acts alleged herein within the State of California. Brown received credit for falsely claiming he was the original author of the story and

themes set forth in *Trouble with the Curve*. He also was paid for the rights in and to the counterfeited, infringing, and stolen work and has received many other significant economic benefits for his part in this corrupt conspiracy, including receiving the giveback from the ring of Co-Conspirators of signing his little known band to record a musical track for the infringing work, *Trouble with the Curve*.

- 28. Defendant Tressa DiFiglia Handfield (herein "DiFiglia" or "Co-Conspirator DiFiglia") is an actress, screenwriter and producer, and has been married to Co-Conspirator Handfield since February 14, 2008. She resides with him in Los Angeles, California. In 2008 she worked in part with Co-Conspirator Handfield on the original script *Omaha* as a work-for-hire for Omaha, LLC, a subsidiary of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions. Upon information and belief, she assisted Co-Conspirator Handfield in preparing the counterfeit and infringing screenplay, *Trouble with the Curve*. Upon information and belief, Co-Conspirator DiFiglia induced, encouraged, caused, contributed to, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. DiFiglia is partnered in life and work with Co-Conspirator Handfield and received all or some of the economic benefits and other career benefits flowing to and through Co-Conspirator Handfield from the corrupt and unethical acts of which he was a part.
- 29. Defendant Charles Ferraro (herein "Ferraro" or "Co-Conspirator Ferraro") is a literary agent at Defendant United Talent Agency in Beverly Hills, California, who, as of the events in question, was representing both Co-Conspirator Handfield and Co-Conspirator Brown. At all times alleged herein, Ferraro acted as an agent for, and on behalf of, Defendant United Talent Agency. Ferraro was involved in an earlier legal dispute between Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and Co-Conspirator Handfield, and through such involvement had direct access to the original work entitled *Omaha*, the producer's notes for further development thereof and at least one draft thereof (and upon information and belief, possibly the work

product, notes, and treatments related thereto). Co-Conspirator Ferraro induced, caused, contributed to, encouraged, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. Co-Conspirator Ferraro received commissions and other economic remuneration as the result of representing the imposter (Brown) who was given the sole screenplay credit for the stolen original work of another.

- Weisler") is a producer represented by Defendant The Gersh Agency. She has made a career of primarily working on horror films as a below-the-line Production Manager. She has no experience as a credited screenplay writer. She was for periods of time at the epicenter of the conspiracy alleged herein, controlled many of Co-Conspirator Brown's interviews relating to his false and misleading credit as the creative source for the original story told in *Trouble with the Curve*, and lied about the "so called" independent creation of the counterfeit, infiringing script *Trouble with the Curve*. Co-Conspirator Weisler induced, caused, contributed to, encouraged, and aided the direct infiringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. Co-Conspirator Weisler achieved her first Full Producer credit on a major motion picture theatrical release in part as a result of her wrongful and illegal actions alleged herein, in addition to receiving other financial remunerations.
- 31. Defendant Jay Cohen (herein "Cohen" or "Co-Conspirator Cohen") is a partner, Vice President of, and head of the Independent Film and Finance & Distribution division at Defendant The Gersh Agency. Cohen formerly worked on Wall Street raising capital and learning his sense of ethics in that environment, which he apparently imported into his Hollywood life. He has produced feature films and television films. He previously formed Cosmic Entertainment with others, including Kurt Russell. He produced and self-financed the television show *Swimming with Sharks*. Upon information and belief, Cohen came to know Co-

1	Conspirator Handfield prior to or in the early stages of the events alleged herein
2	and was, in part, involved in the alleged racket, including the part where Handfield
3	delivered a counterfeited, stolen, and infringing work eventually entitled <i>Trouble</i>
4	with the Curve to one or more of the Co-Conspirators. Simultaneously, it presently
5	appears Cohen assisted Handfield in resurrecting his then "dead" or, at least
6	struggling, film project, <i>Touchback</i> , by securing a distribution deal for the film. It
7	should also be noted that Cohen represents the star actor of <i>Touchback</i> , Brian
8	Presley. In addition, Cohen assisted in covering up the theft of the stolen,
9	infringing story/script by putting his former company's name, Cosmic
10	Entertainment, on a counterfeit "older version" of Trouble with the Curve – which
11	was ginned up after the fact to create a false defense for the Co-Conspirators.
12	Cohen acted as an agent for and on behalf of Defendant The Gersh Agency.
13	Cohen induced, encouraged, caused, contributed to, and aided the direct
14	infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad
15	conspiracy alleged herein. Co-Conspirator Cohen's agency received commissions
16	or compensation arising out of Defendant Weisler's involvement in Trouble with
17	the Curve and in connection with Cohen's work in securing the distribution deal
18	for Touchback. Additionally, The Gersh Agency and Cohen received other perks,
19	remuneration, and benefits as a result of Cohen's illegal and wrongful acts as
20	alleged herein.
21	32. Defendant Robert Lorenz (herein "Lorenz" or "Co-Conspirator

32. Defendant Robert Lorenz (herein "Lorenz" or "Co-Conspirator Lorenz") is a producer -- and now a director, as a result of making his directorial debut in connection with the infringing production and release of *Trouble with the Curve*. Lorenz is known for collaborating with the esteemed Clint Eastwood at Defendant Malpaso Productions. Lorenz oversees all aspects of the films produced at Malpaso Productions, from development through every stage of production, marketing, and distribution. Upon information and belief, Co-Conspirator Lorenz induced, caused, encouraged, contributed to, and aided the direct infringement and

other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein.
Lorenz is included as a Defendant herein because, among other evidence, he gave
interviews about the genesis of the infringing script that are inconsistent, dishonest,
and not fully credible, as further alleged herein. Additionally, an employee or
employees of Malpaso Productions, acting under Lorenz's direction, covered for
Defendant Brown following interviews where his answers did not conform to the
rehearsed lie created to cover Defendants' robbery of an original work belonging
to a company they believed did not have the industry clout, temerity or internal
fortitude to bring suit. Lorenz benefited because the infringing, counterfeit script
was a simple script to film without spectacle or special effects, and hence a perfect
candidate for his directorial debut. Members of his family appeared in the film and
he collected numerous remunerations from his wrongful conduct. Moreover,
Lorenz knew Co-Conspirator Weisler dating all the way back to one of the first
movies on which he was paid to work, the Roger Corman horror film, Slumber
Party Massacre III, released in 1990. In interviews, Co-Conspirator Lorenz
attempts to act casual about his history with Weisler referring to her once as some
"gal." He lied when he said that Trouble with the Curve was shot in Atlanta,
Georgia because the imposter screenplay writer, Co-Conspirator Brown, was from
there. In actuality, Co-Conspirator Brown is from San Jose, California. Trouble
with the Curve was filmed in Georgia, not because Brown was from there, but
because of the tax incentives offered. Co-Conspirator Lorenz's interviews are a
patchwork of inconsistency and complicity.

33. Defendant United Talent Agency, Inc. (herein "UTA" or "Co-Conspirator UTA") is a California corporation registered to do business in California in 1991. It is listed by the California Secretary of State's office as an active business and has its principal place of business in Beverly Hills, California. UTA is a global talent and literary agency that represents film and television actors, directors, producers, screenwriters, literary authors, journalists, musicians,

corporations, and creators of mobile, online and gaming content. UTA packages film, television, and video game projects. Additionally, UTA provides branding, licensing, and marketing services. Its principal divisions are motion pictures, television, alternative television, digital media, books, music, marketing, and production. Co-Conspirator Ferraro, acting on UTA's behalf, induced, encouraged, caused, contributed to, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. Upon information and belief, one or more persons at UTA induced, encouraged, caused, contributed to, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. These persons are sued presently as DOE Defendants below.

- 34. Defendant The Gersh Agency, Inc. (herein "The Gersh Agency" or "Co-Conspirator The Gersh Agency") is a California corporation registered to do business in California in 1949. It is listed by the California Secretary of State's office as an active business and has its principal place of business in Beverly Hills, California. The Gersh Agency is a full service, bi-coastal talent agency with eight departments: talent, feature literary, television literary and packaging, production, theater, comedy, sports, and marketing. Co-Conspirator Cohen, acting on The Gersh Agency's behalf, induced, caused, encouraged, contributed to, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. Upon information and belief one or more persons at The Gersh Agency induced, caused, encouraged, contributed to, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, as part of the broad conspiracy alleged herein. These persons are sued presently as DOE Defendants below.
- 35. Defendant Warner Bros. Pictures Inc. (herein "WBPI" or "Co-Conspirator WBPI") is a Delaware corporation registered to do business in California in 2003, but is currently listed by the California Secretary of State's

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 36. Defendant Warner Bros. Entertainment, Inc. (herein "WBEI" or Co-Conspirator WBEI") is a Delaware corporation, which was registered in the state of California to do business in 2003 and is listed by the California Secretary of State's Office as an active business. Its principal place of business is in Burbank, California. WBEI is a major motion picture studio involved in all facets of production and distribution of motion pictures. Upon information and belief, WBEI was involved in the production, distribution, licensing, sale, publishing, and exploitation through various media outlets, without Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' consent, of the infringing work entitled Trouble with the Curve, and procured illegally gained revenues and profits in connection therewith. WBEI also owns the copyright to the soundtrack that was produced in parallel to the film, which features Co-Conspirator Brown's little known band in the soundtrack. In addition, WBEI further induced, caused, contributed to, encouraged, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein, and aided the conspiracy alleged herein.
- 37. Defendant Malpaso Productions, Ltd. (herein "Malpaso" or "Co-Conspirator Malpaso") is a California corporation registered to do business in California in 1983 and currently listed by the California Secretary of State's office as an active business in California. Its principal place of business is in Burbank, California on the Warner Bros. studio lot. Malpaso is the esteemed Clint Eastwood's long-time production company, which through the acts of Co-

Conspirator Lorenz and others, used and exploited the infringing work product found within the infringing script entitled *Trouble with the Curve*, and in so doing infringed upon the copyrights held by Gold Glove Productions in the substantially, if not strikingly similar screenplay, *Omaha*. Upon information and belief, Malpaso Productions produced the infringing motion picture *Trouble with the Curve* for distribution by one or more Warner Bros. entities, under an arrangement where Malpaso Productions would be paid production fees and then share in the profits of the film as contracted between the parties. In doing so, Malpaso induced, caused, encouraged, materially contributed to, and aided the infringing conduct of the Defendants, certain of whom thereafter distributed, sold, licensed, published or otherwise exploited the infringing work, in whole or in part, all without Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' consent. Notably, persons working for Malpaso attempted to cover for Co-Conspirator Brown when he failed to follow the cover up story by forgetting the names of scouts he supposedly interviewed.

- 38. Defendant Warner Bros. Distributing Inc. (herein "WBDI" or Co-Conspirator WBDI") is a Delaware corporation, and is currently listed by the California Secretary of State's office as an active business in California. Its principal place of business is in Burbank, California. Upon information and belief, WBDI was involved in the distribution, licensing, sale, copying, publishing, and exploitation through various media outlets, without Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' consent, of the infringing work entitled *Trouble with the Curve*, and procured illegally gained revenues and profits in connection therewith. In addition, Defendant WBDI further induced, caused, contributed to, encouraged, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein.
- 39. Defendant Warner Bros. Home Entertainment Inc. (herein "WBHEI" or "Co-Conspirator WBHEI") is a Delaware corporation, and is currently listed by the California Secretary of State's office as an active business in California. Its principal place of business is in Burbank, California. Upon information and belief,

- 40. Defendant Warner Bros. Domestic Television Distribution, Inc. (herein "WBDTDI" or "Co-Conspirator WBDTDI") is a Delaware corporation, and is currently listed by the California Secretary of State's office as an active business in California. Its principal place of business is in Burbank, California. Upon information and belief, WBDTDI was involved in the distribution, licensing, sale, copying, publishing, and exploitation through various media outlets, without Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' consent, of the infringing work entitled *Trouble with the Curve*, and procured illegally gained revenues and profits in connection therewith. In addition, Defendant WBDTDI further induced, caused, contributed to, encouraged, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein.
- 41. Defendant TW UK Holdings, Inc. (herein "TWUKHI" or Co-Conspirator TWUKHI") is a Delaware corporation. TWUKHI wholly owns its subsidiary "Time Warner Entertainment Limited" which is the parent company to "Warner Bros Distributors Ltd" in the United Kingdom. Upon information and belief, Defendant TWUKHI was involved in the distribution, licensing, sale, copying, publishing, and exploitation through various media outlets, without Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' consent, of the infringing work entitled *Trouble with the Curve*, and procured illegally gained revenues and profits in connection therewith. In addition, Defendant TWUKHI further induced, caused, contributed to, encouraged, and aided the direct infringement and other wrongful acts alleged herein.

42. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants identified in the caption hereinabove as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, is in some manner responsible or legally liable for the actions, damages, events, transactions, and occurrences alleged herein. The true names and capacities of such fictitiously named Defendants, whether individual, corporate, associated or otherwise, are presently unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs will timely amend this Complaint to assert the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named Defendants when the same have been ascertained. For convenience, each reference herein to the Defendants collectively shall also refer to the Doe Defendants and each of them.

43. Finally, Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that at all times relevant hereto, each of the Defendants was the agent, Co-Conspirator and/or representative of each of the other Defendants herein during the events alleged; that at all times relevant hereto each of the Defendants was acting within the course and scope of such agency, conspiracy or representation, and that each of the Defendants is jointly and severally responsible and liable for the damages that are herein alleged to have been sustained, except as otherwise alleged at the time of trial.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. RYAN A. BROOKS

44. The founder and sole owner of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions is Plaintiff Ryan A. Brooks. Brooks was born on November 12, 1978 and raised in Houston, Texas. From pre-kindergarten through 8th grade, Brooks attended school in Houston, Texas. He was introduced to his childhood passion of baseball by his father. After great success in the Post Oak Little League, Brooks at the young age of thirteen (13), earned a place on the United States National Baseball Team. During high school, Brooks was a standout for the perennially nationally known Bellaire Cardinals. In fact, Brooks earned the distinction as the first high school

freshman in Texas history to play in the state 5A championship game.

45. Brooks was later inducted into the Bellaire Air High School Baseball Hall of Fame in 2004. Below is a photograph of his trophy.



Thereafter, he was one of the few in America selected to participate in numerous national showcases, including the Team USA Junior Trials (Brooks' jersey is pictured on the following page).

///



He drew the attention of many Major League and college scouts. Brooks showed Handfield his uniforms, awards, and articles about his time as a top prospect and the scouts he was attracting. During his career as a decorated high school baseball standout at third base, Brooks was recruited by numerous scouts, but ultimately chose to play for Georgia Tech in Atlanta. Following his freshman year he transferred to the University of Texas-Austin (herein "UT") to fulfill a childhood dream. While at UT, Brooks played third base and helped lead the Longhorns back to the College World Series in 2000. He also received UT's coveted Teammate of the Year Award and was an All-Big 12 Conference third baseman.

- 46. Brooks met many of the older, irascible, four-letter-word-hurling high school, college, and professional baseball coaches and scouts. Brooks was scouted by professional teams, immersed in that culture and, but for injuries, would have played at the professional level.
- 47. Instead, Brooks chose a career in film. He started out in the Austin, Texas independent film scene. Brooks was employed to shoot EPK videos for companies in the Central Texas area. Brooks also took acting classes and landed

4

6

9

10

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

/// 28

an agent in Austin, a town in which there was always a sense of unity and forthrightness.

- 48. From there, Brooks was booked for roles in commercials, short films, and some very low budget indie features. During this time, Brooks studied the entire process of how films are made, from the creation of the written script through the gathering of financing and the creative talent and finally through production and distribution. As a result, in 2002, Brooks produced his first independent film which was accepted into the Austin Film Festival.
- 49. It was also during this time that Brooks' mother was told that the cancer she had fought into remission had returned and that it was now terminal. Brooks was by her side during her fight with cancer until July 22, 2003 when she passed. During the time Brooks spent by the side of his dying mother, she shared with him how being estranged from her father her entire life had made her feel; and, that this was still unsettling to her. Brooks observed the emotions of a daughter estranged from her father and the emotions of a person in the final chapter of her life. He became driven to tie together a story including elements of his baseball career with those emotions expressed by his mother, a daughter estranged from her father, and with the issue of the real life difficulties involved in battling a serious illness later in life. As the story evolved, Brooks was able to draw more and more from his baseball experiences and include things like the unforgettable characters who paced the baseball dugouts and stadiums as irascible, cursing men, often lost in the game and in their past; whether coaches, managers or scouts.
- 50. After his mother, whom he loved very much, passed away, Brooks moved to Los Angeles and established the production company Gold Glove Productions, LLC in 2004, with offices located in West Hollywood. Brooks had a burning story to tell, to produce, to direct and to share with the world.

- 51. While trying to figure out how to tell the story of an irascible baseball coach, manager, player or scout type character facing illness in the last chapters of his life and a daughter estranged from her father, Brooks set up the Gold Glove Productions offices in 2004 and went about filmmaking quickly. Gold Glove Productions first produced *Slam Planet*, a feature length documentary chronicling rival slam poets from New York City, New York and Austin, Texas as they prepared for the National Poetry Slam. This highly acclaimed documentary went on to win an Audience Choice Award at the prestigious SXSW Film Festival.
- 52. Brooks also went on to be an executive producer on award winning films *The Elephant King* and *Harvest of Redemption*. He also directed and produced a video of the Grammy® Award winning rap artist, Coolio, for Rapid Change Entertainment.
- 53. In the midst of an early launch to a promising career, Brooks never let go of his baseball, father-daughter movie project. He had shaped the initial story; but needed to commission a writer on a work-for-hire basis who could develop a screenplay befitting of the emotions tied into the story that had been growing in Brooks' life and mind. Brooks hired Handfield in January of 2005 and requested that he collaborate on and prepare such a script on a work-for-hire basis.
- 54. The facts concerning Handfield's subsequent betrayal and the conspiracy in which he became involved and that resulted in the infringement, conversion, and theft of Brooks' unique story concept (and what is Gold Glove Productions' copyrighted work) are alleged below.
- 55. Most recently, Gold Glove Productions was a moving force behind the production of the recent Academy Award® winning documentary, *INOCENTE*. The initial idea for this documentary came to the attention of Brooks in January 2008. It's a story about an undocumented teenage girl, Inocente Izucar, who stands out for her artistic passion, painted face, and canvas paintings.

56. Inocente seeks to rise above a background of homelessness and abuse through her art. Brooks was motivated to produce this documentary to shine a light on the extraordinary resilient spirit in women. The film, *INOCENTE*, exposes the fact that one in forty-five children in America is homeless. It chronicled the hardships and triumphs of Inocente's daily life in San Diego as she prepared for her first art exhibition, all the while without having a permanent home. At the end of this moving film, Inocente mentions her dream (one of many): to have her work shown in New York City, which to her is the Mecca of the art world. Indicative of Brooks' personal and professional character, his Gold Glove Productions' team organized and financed a screening of the film that was followed by an exhibition of Inocente's work at the Tribeca Grand Hotel in New York City, allowing her to keep 100% of the proceeds from the evening (pictured below).



57. Thanks to the compassionate and passionate commitment of the Gold Glove Productions team, Inocente sold 24 of 30 original paintings, along with 25 prints. Shortly after, *INOCENTE* was nominated for an Academy Award®, and Brooks approached the distributor about redesigning Inocente's personal website,

www.inocenteart.com, in order to allow her to sell her work online, taking full advantage of the huge window of opportunity presenting itself to her. Upon learning that there was no money in the budget for this, Brooks elected to pay for the redesign personally. Brooks and Gold Glove Productions also spearheaded the Oscar P.R. campaign, resulting in articles in *Vanity Fair*, *People*, *Teen Vogue*, and *Details* prior to Oscar Sunday, all of which drove readers to Inocente's personal website.

58. Gold Glove Productions is committed to producing films with redemptive qualities and its owner stands on the principle that integrity is an essential element of every film production: integrity in business dealings, integrity in presenting an issue, person or circumstance through the medium of a motion picture, and integrity in all deeds. Gold Glove Productions is now bi-coastal with a presence in both Los Angeles, California and Brooklyn, New York.

59. Below is a picture of Brooks and Inocente on Oscar Night:



1.7

- 60. Co-Conspirator Handfield was born in Indiana and spent part of his younger and teen years in Herndon, Virginia where he graduated from Herndon High School in 1989. Among other things, Virginia claims to be the "clogging capital of the world" ("clogging" appears in the infringing script and in a scene in the film *Trouble with the Curve*, which was "supposedly" written by San Jose native Co-Conspirator Brown) and Herndon itself had many fairs and festivals that involved clogging at and around the time Co-Conspirator Handfield lived there. Handfield's experiences in Virginia and the neighboring states are evident in *Trouble with the Curve*.
- 61. As a young boy, Co-Conspirator Handfield was a follower of science fiction. He attended Ohio State University. In his autobiography, he stated that he changed his college major from theater performance to television broadcasting (it should be noted that the Johnny character in *Trouble with the Curve*, played by Justin Timberlake, was striving to be a television sports broadcaster). It was at Ohio State University that Handfield became changed with the Ohio State football team.
- 62. Co-Conspirator Handfield did not have a background of his own in baseball and only had a mere passing knowledge of the game.
- 63. He then moved to Los Angeles where he studied acting. His first job in media after college was as a Production Assistant at E! Entertainment Television. His acting roles included the character Dwight Tanner in the 1998 film *Deep Impact*, and the homosexual character, Pete Bradley, in the edgy 1997 film *Defying Gravity*, as well as earlier appearances on in *Saved by the Bell* and other television shows.
- 64. According to interviews given by Co-Conspirator Handfield, in the late 1990s (during his acting career), he began writing a screenplay entitled *Touchback*. As explained in greater detail herein, *Touchback* is a tale of a former

65. Co-Conspirator Handfield became a respected writer-for-hire. He was hired in or about 2005 by Warner Brothers and Jerry Weintraub to write *Genius*. Co-Conspirator Handfield is no stranger to Warner Brothers.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 66. As explained more fully herein, Co-Conspirator Handfield has written for many other work-for-hire or spec script projects such as *Driver's Ed*, *Hello My Name is Jason Scott*, and *Love Always*. In 2005, Co-Conspirator Handfield was named by Filmmaker Magazine as one of the "25 new faces of independent films." In 2006, Co-Conspirator Handfield was a student in the Joan Scheckel Directing Lab, along with Plaintiff Brooks, who is the founder of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions.
- 67. Recently, Co-Conspirator Handfield was hired to write and produce the upcoming Paramount Pictures film *Slingshot*. He is also currently producing the film *Aztec Warrior*. Further, in or about March 2011, Co-Conspirator

Handfield partnered with an actor named Jeremy Renner to form a production company, The Combine.

68. In early 2005, Brooks was looking to hire a screenwriter experienced in sports writing to collaborate with him on *Omaha*. Brooks and Co-Conspirator Handfield had initially met in late 2004 after Brooks had read Handfield's script, *Touchback*. The script was sent to Brooks by Co-Conspirator Handfield's management company, Underground Films & Management. After reading *Touchback*, Brooks set up a meeting with Handfield at the Gold Glove Productions office on The Lot in West Hollywood to discuss Brooks' unique baseball father/daughter film project further. Shortly thereafter, Brooks carefully re-read the three scripts he had singled out from those sent to him by various candidates, and then called Co-Conspirator Handfield to tell him it was Co-Conspirator Handfield with whom he wanted to move forward. It was in the early part of 2005 that Brooks sought to hire Handfield to work with him and collaborate with him on the film he had wanted to make for years.

IV. THE BROOKS HANDFIELD JANUARY 7, 2005 WRITING SERVICES AGREEMENT

- 69. On or about January 17, 2005, an attorney hired by Brooks, by the name of Jay Shanker, prepared a "Writing Services" memorandum agreement under which Brooks hired Co-Conspirator Handfield to provide his sole writing services for a motion picture tentatively entitled *Run Down*, which was the predecessor title for the script that became *Omaha*. A true and correct copy of the Writing Services memorandum is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 70. The memorandum was intended to confirm that Brooks, as producer, was hiring Handfield to provide his writing services "for the motion picture tentatively entitled *Run Down*." The memorandum confirmed that Handfield would be basing his writing on "an original idea of Ryan's, rights to which are owned and controlled by Ryan...." The memorandum stated that Handfield would

accompany Brooks on a "research" trip to Austin, Texas where he would be
introduced to the world of college baseball, big recruits, and the legendary, gray
haired, irascible, four-letter-cursing head coach of the University of Texas at
Austin, Augie Garrido. Brooks was to pay Handfield an advance against his work-
for-hire script of \$6,000 prior to this research trip, then \$30,000 for either a draft
by Handfield of what would become <i>Omaha</i> , and a set of revisions or a treatment,
draft and extended polish in connection with the same project. The payments were
to be prorated and proportionate to WGA scale for each step. The memorandum
addressed what would transpire if the project was financed through private sources
or if the project was set with a studio. The memorandum made clear that while
Brooks, as the producer, was hiring Co-Conspirator Handfield to provide specific
writing services for an agreed upon amount, Brooks would be collaborating closely
with Co-Conspirator Handfield. This is because Brooks is steeped in knowledge of
the world of baseball, given his successful high school and college baseball career
and the notoriety that went with it; and, because of the experiences and stories his
mother shared with him in the final stages of her life. Those things planted in him
the desire to write a story about a late-in-life irascible baseball figure, facing a
crossroad of his own, and trying to reconnect with an estranged daughter,
following the death of his wife (the daughter's mother). Hence, the memorandum
provided the writing credit determinations would be "per WGA guidelines."
Brooks anticipated a well deserved shared writing credit.

71. Since Brooks knew of no other father-daughter story set against the backdrop of baseball, it was imperative that this project and original idea for a motion picture be held in strict confidence by Co-Conspirator Handfield. Handfield promised to do so. Accordingly, the memorandum provided that Handfield was "to maintain the confidentiality of the project (and not circulate writing as a sample) without Brooks' express approval." All other terms were to be consistent with standard industry practice, and set forth in a more formal

72. Prior to retaining the writing services of Handfield, Brooks already had a short script of his own about a player dying of cancer, and the support of a close friend and teammate (entitled *Run Down*), but the idea of moving this from more of a baseball story to a father-daughter estranged relationship story set against the backdrop of baseball was percolating to the surface.

V. BROOKS EDUCATES CO-CONSPIRATOR HANDFIELD ABOUT THE WORLD OF BASEBALL AND SHARES HIS MOTHER'S STORY OF BEING ESTRANGED FROM HER FATHER

- 73. Brooks and Handfield became for a time professionally inseparable. Brooks paid to take Handfield to Austin and to The University of Texas at Austin to meet the irascible, constantly cutsing, prideful Augie Garrido and to absorb Brooks' stories of conversations with his mother in her final days about her feelings of being estranged from her father. Brooks also paid to take Handfield to Omaha, Nebraska to experience the College World. Series at the famous home of the series, Rosenblatt Stadium.
- 74. It was at the College World Series that Brooks gave Handfield a front row seat and press-like access which allowed him into the fraternity of the baseball world. It was there that Co-Conspirator Handfield met rows of coaches, scouts, ESPN announcers, journalists, and players, all thanks to Brooks' many baseball connections forged through his own years of his college baseball career. Co-Conspirator Handfield bathed in the spirit of all aspects of the baseball world during this time, and soaked in all that the legendary Rosenblatt Stadium and the College World Series had to offer. He watched and observed the rows of scouts while meeting more gray-haired irascible coaches. He got to visit the ESPN booth and meet the ESPN announcers, who were former players; and Handfield partook in the famous concessions of Rosenblatt Stadium, such as pastrami sandwiches.

10 11

9

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 He constantly was taking notes. Little did either Brooks or Handfield know that they were making this "research" visit only a handful of years before Rosenblatt Stadium would be closed down (more about Rosenblatt and pastrami sandwiches later). Co-Conspirator Handfield saw and felt the buzz that surrounded amateur baseball's best players, the unique energy of the College World Series crowd and scouts armed with radar guns, who followed their every at bat and move, on and off the field.

75. Before, during, and after this trip, Brooks and Handfield collaborated on the writing of a moving, gripping father-daughter story set against the backdrop of baseball -- a story in which the father would be in the last months of his long love affair with a life in baseball, still deeply missing his deceased wife, and facing the need to reconcile with his estranged daughter; all of which was brought front and center because of an illness the father had been trying to ignore. Brooks "played a little baseball" with Handfield, and regaled him with many of his own college baseball stories. He shared intricacies of his experiences within the world of baseball including how, in high school, Josh Beckett retaliated against Brooks for a homerun Brooks hit against him in his prior at bat by hitting Brooks in the head with a fastball in the neighborhood of 100 miles per hour; how high school and college players would scratch their nose with their middle finger to tell a player off; how Brooks was annoyed at a third base coach and did not want to "high-five" him after a homerun; how a pitcher "paints the corner;" how many young prospects have trouble with curveballs; and, what it means to "be in a coma" or not be able to hit the "beach with a beach ball." Handfield would seek guidance from and ask questions of Brooks regarding all things baseball, from how arrogant some star recruits were, to how often players scratched their balls.

76. Brooks provided Handfield with access to other managers and other participants that formed the amateur and professional game of baseball. When Handfield was so short on money that he could not pay his rent, the gas bill or buy

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17 18

1920

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

groceries, Brooks loaned him money. The two studied in the Joan Sheckel Directing Lab together, and they shared not just a working relationship that surrounded this unique and powerful project idea, but shared their dreams for where their careers would lead.

77 Co-Conspirator Handfield turned in a first draft on July 25, 2005. A true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit "B," entitled *Omaha*. This draft included the unique story about an irascible coach, late in his college baseball coaching career, Coach Dodge, who still had not let go of his late wife; and that of his estranged daughter in her early thirties who was off living her own life, and for whom he had a great deal of repressed love. It included the slow revelation that Coach Dodge was suffering a serious illness he was ignoring and the draft used a mentoring relationship with a player to show a more sensitive side to this otherwise ornery man. It included his life being frozen in the past through things like the old car he drove; the family photos of his wife and daughter, at a younger age, that he worshiped; and his not giving his deceased wife's clothes away. This draft depicted the father as resorting to double malt scotch to ease the continued pains of life as he aged. The draft also included the revelation that his daughter was more like her father than she wanted to admit, that she cursed and slammed doors too, that she had felt baseball had taken her father from her, and that she longed to just "play a little baseball" with him one day. The storyline worked the daughter back into her father's life through awkward, painful conversations leading up to the daughter discovering her father's illness and then having to help him through what would likely be his last baseball season. It contrasted the father who ate dinner foods for breakfast and lived a generally old fashioned, unhealthy life with the more educated and healthier daughter, and it ended with father and daughter at a baseball field, reunited.

78. Brooks and Handfield worked toward a second draft, one meant to make the baseball references more accurate and further develop the daughter. A

2

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Productions, and had shared his struggles to date to launch that project (which was Handfield's own "baby"). Handfield also had other projects going on during this time, including projects on the Warner Brothers' lot such as *Genius* at Jerry Weintraub Productions. Additionally, Co-Conspirator Handfield was receiving notoriety from Hello My Name is Jason Scott and Fire on the Mountain. It was simply time for Brooks and Gold Glove Productions to move forward with their projects, including *Omaha*, and for Handfield to move on with his projects. The two parted on decent terms and stayed in touch.

VI. THE INTERIM DRAFTS OF *OMAHA*

80. Brooks knew that his father-daughter baseball story needed a bit more work before he sought funding, such as the development of an "in the game of baseball" love interest for the daughter as she reunited with her father. He also had other projects in various stages of development, including one entitled *INOCENTE*, that went on to win an Academy Award®. Co-Conspirator Handfield

1	had, for the time, moved on to other writing projects, as noted above. Accordingly,
2	Gold Glove Productions worked with another writer, Kyle Fuller (herein "Fuller")
3	to move the father-daughter baseball script forward. Fuller started to help with the
4	further development but was not quite in touch with the original spirit of the
5	project. A draft in which Brooks collaborated with Fuller was completed in July
6	2007. A true and correct copy of the 2007 version of <i>Omaha</i> is attached hereto as
7	Exhibit "F." That draft has since been registered with the United States Copyright
8	Office. A true and correct copy of the United States Copyright Office Certificate
9	of Registration is attached hereto as Exhibit "G." One will notice upon
10	examination that many of Handfield's writing tics and manners were removed by
11	Fuller and Brooks in this draft only to resurface in part in the final polish done by
12	Handfield, and again in Trouble with the Curve.
13	VII. THE CONCEPT Y DEO AND THE PITCH TO TRUSTED
14	— TEAM MEMBERS AND CONFIDANTS
15	81. On July 19, 2007, Brooks held a meeting at his home in Los Angeles
16	where he had a carefully selected production team gathered, each with a presence

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

in the independent film industry. Brooks spent months producing a concept reel for his father-daughter baseball story and he had a new draft of the script that was developed enough to circulate on a highly confidential basis to this small group. Brooks was putting his foot in the water with those who he knew and whom he trusted and respected in order to gauge how this father-daughter baseball story would be received. Therefore, Brooks entrusted them with his vision, which was portrayed in the latest draft and the copyrighted concept reel he produced.

The attendees were as follows: Fuller, a Co-Producer at Gold Glove 82. Productions at the time who had helped further develop the script; Troy Craig Poon (herein "Poon"), who was by this time committed as an Executive Producer of Omaha (at the time, Poon was Senior Vice President of Acquisitions at MTV Films); Lynette Howell (herein "Howell"), who was committed as a Producer of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

83. The concept reel, produced, owned and copyrighted by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions, runs through a montage of clips that display the following points with respect to the script and the story as it was being even further developed at that time by Brooks and Gold Glove Productions. It drove home that an elderly baseball lifer had one season left and was in danger of losing any shot at a real and meaningful relationship with his daughter. It displayed rows of amateur baseball fields in a country setting. It highlighted the ping of the baseball off an aluminum bat, which is the kind of bat used in college and high school baseball, but not in professional baseball. It shows a home run celebration after a home run. It portrays Jack Nicholson as the father/baseball lifer; it portrays Rachel McAdams as the estranged and distant daughter. It portrays a man graveside lamenting a wife who has passed away, and an associated family life long gone, a man who stares at old family photos and is privately still trying to recover from the actual loss of his wife and estrangement of his daughter. It shows that the father/baseball lifer (in Omaha, a college coach) is a cigar smoker (something Brooks added to the concept reel with the hope of working it into the final script). It shows the father suffering an illness. It portrays a daughter who finds out her estranged father is ill. It shows the daughter drinking a glass of red wine. It shows a player flipping the middle finger. It shows the flow of amateur baseball. It shows a father and daughter being reunited around and through the game of baseball, the very thing that had been, in a way, keeping them apart. It also shows a lot of baseball action and provides a shot of the famous Rosenblatt Stadium. The themes stressed were the

importance of winning in life even more so than winning on the field. The concept reel highlights an aging, cigar smoking, scotch drinking father whose life is baseball, an estranged daughter appearing in her early 30s, a deceased mother, an illness creeping into the father's life and an emotional and painful reunion with the estranged daughter. The backdrop is baseball. Attached as Exhibit "H" is a true and correct copy of the copyrighted *Omaha* concept reel. This reel was for demonstration purposes only and never to be distributed, or sold.

84. In October of 2007, Gold Glove Productions approached Tig Productions/Tree House Productions, which are Kevin Costner's production companies, about a potential co-production deal. At this introduction meeting, no materials were left and the talks were preliminary (a second meeting took place later, in 2009). Ironically, the infringing film, *Trouble with the Curve*, includes an end credit thanking Kevin Costner.

85. At or around this time, Brooks met with Emanuel Michael (herein "Michael") of Unison Films. Michael was extremely enthusiastic about the project. Thereafter, Brooks asked Handfield to do a polish that would allow for the further development of the daughter and the addition of new ideas from the concept reel, like possibly having the father/baseball coach figure smoking cigars. Brooks prepared notes he gave to Handfield, together with the concept reel, and the latest draft. Brooks gave Handfield all the direction he would need to bring this script to the place Brooks hoped to have it before gathering his financing to produce the film. Gold Glove Productions was nearing "go mode" on this independent film.

VIII. THE NOTES GIVEN BY BROOKS TO CO-CONSPIRATOR HANDFIELD IN EARLY 2008

86. Among other points, Brooks wanted the polished version to feature a few more moments where the main male character, the irascible baseball lifer/father, appears on screen alone and vulnerable and aging. Brooks also wanted scenes written where the audience would get to see the daughter character outside

of work and outside of the tug and pull of her difficult relationship with her father, such as her having too much to drink or opening up when she is drunk. Brooks wanted to show that the daughter "might have been somewhat like her father when she was younger, but her evolution and resentment has changed all of that... [The daughter] is much more evolved than her closed minded father." Brooks further drove home to Handfield in these notes that "The only opportunity [the father and daughter] have for having a relationship will be for [the father] to admit his faults and apologize (This is, as we all know something that is extremely difficult to do in general, but for an older man who has been set in his ways for years, it has the potential for being the rawest yet most beautiful scene in the film." (Emphasis added.)

- 87. Brooks also drove home that the daughter character "can be not only a relatable one in this day and age, but one that could inspire many women..." Brooks notes that the "chasm" between the daughter and the father/baseball lifer has to be big enough in the beginning to drive the story. He notes that the daughter has to play down the rift with the father to the outside world and play it "cool" with her father when she has her initial scenes on film with him. Brooks notes that the daughter should herself be on the verge of breaking down or actually having a breakdown moment. Brooks explains how there is the need to carefully reveal in the script an earlier moment in the life of the daughter when the relationship with the father changed. He shared ideas for this as related to *Omaha*.
- 88. In the notes, Brooks also emphasizes the need to develop the relationship the daughter forms along her path back into her father's life with another baseball lifer in the making, one who is younger and associated with the father in some part of the game of baseball. The notes point out the need to show how the daughter has reservations over falling for a younger version of her father (one with his own separate traits) and how utterly afraid she is of travelling down that path again (being in a relationship with a man also married to the game of

1	baseball). As for the daughter's love interest that walks into her life right out of
2	her father's world of baseball, the notes state that the development of the daughter
3	and younger baseball lifer romance needs to be "handled ever so delicately. Their
4	[romantic] tension should steadily increase and it should be almost unbearable for
5	them to be around each other. It should probably lead to one amazing kiss"
6	Brooks points out in these notes that the fact the father approves of this
7	relationship should come off as a bit of a surprise to the audience given how
8	overprotective he is of his daughter.
9	89. Most importantly, Brooks notes that in order to attract a strong female

- 89. Most importantly, Brooks notes that in order to attract a strong female lead actress in her early thirties to play the daughter, the script would need to more fully develop the daughter's own life, career and moments alone where we see the arc of her career and life. He wanted to make sure she came across as more fully developed and established that her character in earlier drafts. Brooks alludes to a famous female lawyer/paralegal in his written notes regarding the development of the daughter character in urging she be made stronger and made to appear more developed and educated than her father. Hence the idea of the daughter as a lawyer was touched upon.
- 90. With regard to some of the secondary baseball characters, Brooks provides notes on developing a ballplayer who is an "egomaniac" and who is arrogant.
- 91. Finally, Brooks continued in his notes to offer ideas for how the father and daughter end up at the very end of the script: together again, at a baseball stadium full of fans.

///

IX. HANDFIELD AND HIS WIFE ARE HIRED BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS' SUBSIDIARY COMPANY TO PROVIDE, ON A WORK-FOR-HIRE BASIS, A FINAL POLISH OF THE FATHER-DAUGHTER BASEBALL STORY

- 92. Through Brooks, Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions granted Handfield and DiFiglia access to all of the earlier drafts of *Omaha*, the concept reel, and Brooks' own notes for moving the script and project toward completion. In conjunction with this, the parties' respective lawyers prepared a Writer Agreement, two Certificates of Authorship, and an Inducement Agreement, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit "T" and incorporated herein by reference. The parties approved and signed these agreements, which make abundantly clear that the services being provided continued to be as a work-for-hire.
- 93. On or about June 13, 2008, Omaha, LLC, a subsidiary of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions, and Back to the Lab, Inc. f/s/o Don Handfield and Tressa DiFiglia entered into a Writer Agreement. Under the Writer Agreement, Handfield, acting through his loan out company, Back to the Lab, Inc., and his wife, DiFiglia, agreed to "perform writing services on a 'work-for-hire' basis for Omaha, LLC in connection with the existing screenplay currently entitled '*Omaha*' (the 'Picture')." (These agreements and all associated copyrights were assigned by Omaha, LLC to Gold Glove Productions, the true and correct copy of such assignment is attached hereto as Exhibit "J"). Under this Agreement, Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia were to write a final draft of the screenplay *Omaha* incorporating the notes shared by Brooks, and other materials to which the two were given access.
- 94. Because Brooks was eager to move toward the production phase of this project and had laid much of the foundation to do so, the parties agreed that a first class final draft would be turned in within eight (8) weeks. This was

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25
- 26

2728

X. CO-CONSPIRATORS HANDFIELD AND DIFIGLIA SHOCK GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS BY SABOTAGING AND GREATLY DELAYING THE FINAL DRAFT

100. First, Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia breached the Writer Agreement when they turned in the late and sabotaged "final" polish and then later when they infringed *Omaha* when Handfield took the story and plot from that script and dressed it up into *Trouble with the Curve*. They were supposed to have turned in the final draft of the father-daughter baseball screenplay on or before August 8, 2008. They did not. In fact, they were entirely dilatory and often evaded calls from Brooks on behalf of Omaha, LLC and Gold Glove Productions, which Brooks found bizarre. Co-Conspirator Handfield had been responsive in the past. Several deadline extensions were necessarily granted, given some personal obligations the couple was facing. Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia turned in the final draft after more than 17 weeks -- more than nine (9) weeks late. A true and correct copy of this draft is attached hereto as Exhibit "K." Although Brooks was sensitive to the events taking place in their personal lives, this draft was an extreme disappointment. The Co-Conspirators had largely ignored the thoughtful notes, directions, materials, concept reel, and instructions given them. Instead of providing depth to the characters and making the daughter more educated and more developed, they turned the daughter into a waitress at Doodle Dandy's Sports Bar, wearing tight, provocative clothing moving far away from the idea that the daughter was a central figure in this project, script, and film. This was seemingly done to move the script away from its unique story and the direction it was supposed to be heading. A true and correct copy of the United States Copyright Office Certificate of Registration for this draft turned in by Handfield and DiFiglia is attached hereto as Exhibit "L."

101. Brooks was aghast at the delay caused by Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia and the oddly substandard job they did. To him, they seemed to go to

great lengths to work away from the original concept and to dilute the role and stature of the daughter. Instead of considering making her a professional type woman, the Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia made her a blue-collar-type trudging away as a waitress at a sports bar.

XI. THE PARTIES EXCHANGE HEATED LAWYERS' LETTERS AND END ON BAD TERMS WITH CO-CONSPIRATOR HANDFIELD THINKING HE WAS THE MOVING FORCE BEHIND THE PROJECT

102. Plaintiffs Brooks and Gold Glove Productions were livid. They retained Darin Frank's firm (at the time) of Eisner & Frank to inform Handfield and DiFiglia they were in breach of the Writer Agreement. Hence, on November 5, 2008, Jill Varon of Eisner & Frank sent Back to the Lab, Inc., Handfield, and DiFiglia a letter care of their lawyer Dale de la Torre, Esq. of Jacobson Russell Saltz & Fingerman by email, fax and first class mail. The letter is single-spaced and uses three pages to detail the complete dereliction of duties by Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia. Defendant Ferraro (Handfield's agent) was copied by facsimile.

103. The November 5th default notice given by Jill Varon to Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia, through their entertainment lawyer, copied to Co-Conspirator Ferraro (Handfield's agent at UTA), stated emphatically, among other things, that "Based on Writer's complete disregard for the Notes and total lack of conscientiousness and professionalism, Producer is unable to use, or salvage any part of the draft your clients turned in on October 13, 2008. As a result, Producer has wasted close to a year of valuable time, money and effort on this matter, which is completely unacceptable and has caused, and will continue to cause, considerable budgetary increases."

104. Over two weeks later, on November 20, 2008, Dale de la Torre (herein "de la Torre") of Jacobson Russell Saltz & Fingerman wrote back a brash

five-page diatribe, that was defensive and insulting in tone. Interestingly, once one gets past the insults and the condescending tone of the de la Torre responsive letter, a key concession is made: "The bigger Note – which was understood clearly from the April 17th meeting—was to create a FAMILY dynamic and triangle between the Coach, Assistant Coach Jimmy [the daughter's love interest] and Sandy [the daughter]. As subtext, this FAMILY dynamic was to be representative of the old family – the family Coach LOST when his wife died. As such, Jimmy's character was DESIGNED to be representative of Coach's dead wife and Sandy's dead mother. This Jimmy character serves as more than just a love interest for Sandy or a friend for Coach..."

105. The part of de la Torre's November 20th letter quoted above drives home that as Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions pushed to finalize the script for this dynamic father-daughter baseball story, both Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and Co-Conspirator Handfield and his representatives understood that developing a love interest from within the game of baseball for the daughter, one who bridged the gap between father and daughter, was essential.

106. Co-Conspirator Ferraro was copied on the de la Torre November 20, 2008 response, and upon information and belief, Co-Conspirator Ferraro was involved in meetings or phone conferences with Co-Conspirators Handfield and/or DiFiglia and their lawyer during which the notes and one or more drafts of Gold Glove Productions' copyrighted father-daughter baseball story were handed out. Upon information and belief, Co-Conspirator Ferraro was given access to Brooks' notes and one or more drafts of the copyrighted works, and because of his experience in the industry and awareness of the formal Writer Agreement in place, knew that all these original ideas and the entire concept of this father-daughter baseball story were to be kept utterly confidential. Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia cared only about getting the back end of the promised \$25,000 payment and blew off Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and its founder Brooks thereafter.

Dale de la Torre's letter disclosed a harbinger of things to come: In the third
paragraph of his diatribe response, he seems to suggest that Co-Conspirator
Handfield viewed this as his "own script" even though he had written it as a work-
for-hire and acknowledged this, again, in the most recent Writer Agreement. Also,
Dale de la Torre's written response devoted time to arguing over who came up
with certain ideas (Brooks or Handfield) and suggested that Handfield was
annoyed over any suggestion that he would not get sole writing credit.
Interestingly, Co-Conspirator Handfield had so soon forgotten where all the
baseball dialogue, all the baseball terminology, and all the baseball background
had come from, much less the heart beat of this project: the father-daughter story.
There was in this responsive letter a clear hint of a man, in the form of Handfield,
who believed he owed Brooks and his production company nothing and that he
was not beholden to Brooks, his rights or those owned by his production company,
Gold Glove Productions.
XII. A LONG STUENCE FOLLOWED BY AN ODD VOICEMAIL
107. After Brooks and Gold Glove Productions finished a hard hitting
exchange of lawyer's letters with Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia, Gold

exchange of lawyer's letters with Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia, Gold Glove Productions was quickly swept up into the production that would become known as *INOCENTE* and the consideration of other projects. Brooks and Gold Glove Productions kindled the fire under their passion project and kept their eye on their plan for the production of the unique father-daughter story told against the backdrop of baseball. Brooks felt he and Gold Glove Productions had a solid, but not entirely complete script. Gold Glove Productions approached limited other third parties in looking for a production company that might want to come on board under a co-production deal. These efforts took place starting in early 2009. Gold Glove Productions was not offering the script and related intellectual property rights for sale, but looking to see if production companies like Tig Productions was interested in a co-production deal. However, ultimately Gold

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Glove Productions came to the conclusion it would finance and produce the film itself. This would follow *INOCENTE*. That was Plaintiffs' plan.

108. Sometime in late October/early November 2010, Brooks received an odd voicemail out of the blue from Handfield. He was talking very fast, seemingly almost nervous. He said in so many words the following: "Hey Bro, it's Don Handfield, bro, I just finished wrapping *Touchback*, bro, and wanted to check and see if you are still in the game...." He found the message beyond odd. Handfield had not spoken to him at all since their falling out in late 2008. Moreover, Co-Conspirator Handfield seemed to be checking to see if Brooks was still in the business of making films or doing business in the industry any longer at all. Brooks knew that his intense work on *INOCENTE* had kept him somewhat submerged to the outer world, as this project required travel to the east coast, Texas, and Europe. To Brooks, Co-Conspirator Handfield was nibbling to see if Gold Glove Productions was still in business and alive. Brooks, still disgusted with Co-Conspirator Handfield and now not trustful of him, did not return the call. He saw no need to do so and found the call to be of an odd, probing nature.

XIII. BROOKS STARTS TO DISCOVER THE THEFT OF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS' COPYRIGHTED, ORIGINAL FATHER/DAUGHTER BASEBALL STORY, SLOWLY

109. On October 5, 2011, Troy Craig Poon, a colleague of Brooks who was an Executive Producer attached to Gold Glove Productions' father-daughter baseball story, *Omaha*, sent Brooks a link to a *Deadline Hollywood* piece that noted that Clint Eastwood was to star in a Malpaso Productions film production in which Lorenz would make his directorial debut. The piece stated "The Randy Brown scripted film is about an aging baseball scout, who's losing his sight and heads on a last road trip to Atlanta with his daughter to scout a hotshot prospect. They will lock Eastwood and Lorenz's deals before setting an actress to play the daughter. Malpaso will produce."

110. Poon expressed his sympathies in the email. Brooks felt that since he had originated the first father-daughter story set against a baseball backdrop and copyrighted it, something was possibly amiss, but because his father practiced law in Texas for many years, he realized from some discussions with him that he could not make any official suppositions until he somehow either saw the film or received a copy of the *Trouble with the Curve* script. Brooks held out some hope that the story lines were entirely different. He could not imagine that Eastwood's respected production company would have come upon and infringed the unique father-daughter story owned by Gold Glove Productions.

111. After receiving Poon's email attaching the *Deadline Hollywood* piece, Brooks looked up the supposed author of *Trouble with the Curve*, Co-Conspirator Brown. He was able to find out little about this heretofore unknown writer, but what he did uncover sent a virtual chill up his spine: Brown was represented by Defendant Ferraro, who was none other than Co-Conspirator Handfield's same agent. Brooks could not believe what appeared to have taken place, and yet, he did not have a script for *Trouble with the Curve* from which to confirm his growing suspicions of a literary theft.

Productions, sought to find out more about this father-daughter baseball movie starring none other than Clint Eastwood, but found the project to be shrouded in some level of secrecy and that the so-called writer of this script, Co-Conspirator Brown, was nearly invisible over the Internet. Finally on June 29, 2012, a member of the *Omaha* production team, provided a copy of the *Trouble with the Curve* script to Brooks, which was believed to be the shooting script, as the film was now in post-production and had started production in March of that year. Brooks was aghast: he immediately knew that *Trouble with the Curve* had been written in large part or entirely by Co-Conspirator Handfield based on (1) the striking similarities between the father-daughter story in *Omaha* and that told in *Trouble with the*

- 113. On September 6, 2012, a mutual friend of Brooks and Handfield provided an allegedly "older version" of the *Trouble with the Curve* script to Brooks, that appeared apparently on a message board after Brooks' was making his inquiry into this issue no secret to those within the industry. Brooks noticed many oddities with this somewhat mysteriously provided, unregistered, and undated script. This mysterious script merely noted on its cover page that it had supposedly been submitted to Defendant Cohen's former production company, Cosmic Entertainment (which he ran for a time a long with Kurt Russell and Goldie Hawn). The script included many irregularities and statements of fact that were not historically accurate or even possible. It appeared to have been "faked."
- 114. Brooks waited patiently for the release of any type of trailer of the film *Trouble with the Curve*, and eventually did see one which hardened his suspicions. On the afternoon of the release date of *Trouble with Curve*, Brooks went to see the film with great trepidation: he left the theater physically sick and visibly ill. *Omaha* is a unique, copyrighted screenplay born in Brooks' soul at the side of his mother's bed in her final days upon hearing her deeply personal admission of having never resolved her hurt feelings of being estranged from her father. It is one carefully clothed with his own life experiences on base paths and in dugouts of dozens of high school and college baseball diamonds. It had now been poorly camouflaged by his once entrusted friend and hired writer, Handfield. This counterfeit version of Gold Glove Productions' father-daughter story set

against the game of baseball was clearly itself illegitimately born out of some type of a twisted conspiracy to take that which belonged to Brooks' production company, Gold Glove Productions, and harvest it for the benefit of the careers of others. Brooks himself felt that his most prized film project had been hijacked.

115. Brooks engaged a law firm that referred an investigator to him, whose lawyers began to meet with him, only to disclose months after their first meeting with him that they had a conflict of interest in that they represented Defendant The Gersh Agency. Brooks then set out to find new legal counsel and, through such counsel, the very best experts in the industry who could opine on the issue of substantial and/or striking similarity as between the copyrighted works (belonging to his production company, Gold Glove Productions) related to the father-daughter baseball story set forth herein on the one hand and the shooting script and related

film entitled Trouble with the Curve, on the other hand.

XIV. TROUBLE WITH THE CURVE IS SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR, IF NOT STRIKINGLY SIMILAR, TO GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS' COPYRIGHTED SCRIPTS AND

CONCPET REEL ENTITLED OMAHA

- 116. Two leading experts in this industry from top film schools have opined that *Trouble with the Curve* is substantially similar to *Omaha*, if not strikingly similar. One expert in tracking writing styles has opined that Handfield's writing habits, style and persona indicate that he played a major role in drafting *Trouble with the Curve*. Those experts will be timely designated.
- 117. The main characters in both *Omaha* and *Trouble with the Curve* are older men. Moreover, both Brooks and Handfield envisioned an older man with the characteristics of Clint Eastwood when Handfield was drafting *Omaha* and put this in their notes. Both leading men in these respective but strikingly similar stories are in the final year of a contract with lives that revolve around baseball and travel. Both men are ill and it affects them each gradually more and more as the

26

27

28

story progresses; and, both men are ignoring and trying to hide their illness. This illness impacts them in many ways which are slowly revealed. For example, both men's illness causes them to wreck their car into the garage.

- 118. Both men are informed by a confidant within the game of baseball that others may not want to renew their contract. Both men ignore their friend's plea that they change their ways.
- 119. Both leading men (Dodge and Gus) are still grieving over the loss of their respective wives, whom they each loved very much. In both Omaha and Trouble with the Curve, the wife has died quite some time ago; yet, in both, the leading men are holding onto their deceased wives as though they are still living. They both get lost staring at family photos of better times when their wife was alive. Both reach out to touch their deceased wife, and act as though she is still there with them. In the *Omaha* concept reel and in *Trouble with the Curve*, both men visit their deceased wife's gravesite. In short, both men have not let go of their deceased wives, and their lives are frozen in the time before their wives died, in just about every way. Dodge, the male lead in *Omaha* plays back old home videos of his wife and daughter, and Gus, the male lead in *Trouble with the Curve*, actually visits his wife's gravesite, as does Dodge, the male lead in the concept reel. Dodge has kept all his deceased wife's clothes. Both men reach out to touch the spirit of their deceased wives, as though they were still alive by touching things of remembrance (with Dodge it is her picture by his bedside; with Gus, it is her tombstone).
- 120. Both leading men had one child, a daughter, from that marriage and no other children. The daughter is in her early 30s in both stories. Both men live alone and have not remarried and have not dated anyone else. Both men live in empty, unkempt homes that seem to be used only for the rare times they are not on the road in connection with their baseball jobs.
 - 121. Both men are irascible, cranky, curse a good deal, and are set in their

ways. Both men have a softer side which is exposed through their relationships with young baseball players as to whom they act as a mentor. Both react in a nurturing way to a player missing his family. Both men are respected by their peers, but keep a distance. Both men are very proud of their estranged daughters, want them to have the best in life, and stress the importance of their education.

- 122. Both men reach into their fridge for unhealthy convenience food. Both men eat dinner food for breakfast. Dodge grabs TV dinners out of the fridge all the time. Gus eats burgers and drinks beers out of the fridge. Both will resort to scotch when feeling pain.
- 123. They both drive older, dated "masculine" vehicles. Dodge drives an older pickup truck and Gus drives an old Mustang.
- both travel a great deal as part of their vocation. As noted, they are both warned by a confidant within their baseball organization that others may want them out by the year's end. With Dodge, it is the Athletic Director, Martin; with Gus, it is Pete, who is in the scouting department. They are both being told found and clear that "others" want them to change their ways. Their confidant goes way back with them and goes to bat for them. They are both "too old school." With Dodge, he is being told to lose his old school coaching ways; with Gus, he is being told to lose his old school scouting ways. In both, the male leads come off as an old race horse being put out to pasture.
- 125. Both leading baseball lifers are proud of their estranged daughters. Both daughters are, not coincidentally, in their early 30s, hard working, and handling a full plate. In *Omaha*, Sandy is a single mom working in sales, but in notes Brooks gave to Handfield, Brooks directed that she be made more sophisticated, like a lawyer type. Brooks used the example of a female lawyer-type as a person with whom a female audience might relate. Handfield did not make this change in the final polish he turned into Gold Glove Productions, but

2

3

6 7

8

9 10 11

12 13

14

15 16 17

19

20

18

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

126. Both the fathers are poor communicators, especially with their daughters and neither wants to talk with his daughter about the past or the reasons for their distant relationship. Both men are in pain over the fact they feel they need to protect their daughters from the atmosphere and characters that surround the game of baseball. Both daughters feel displaced by their father's slavish love for baseball.

127. Both men are facing an important and potentially last year of their career, hampered by their illness, and yet trying to do something very important to their baseball organizations. Gus is trying to figure out who his Major League team, the Atlanta Braves, should pick with the second pick in the MLB draft. Dodge, who formerly played for the Atlanta Braves, is trying to get his college baseball team to the College World Series.

128. Both men stubbornly try to handle their illness on their own -- Dodge struggles up stairs and eventually drives his car into the side of his garage and Gus bumps into furniture and kicks it, and eventually drives his car into the side of his garage. Both men miss a step while walking because of their illness and try to cover it up or not let others notice. As the fathers in the respective scripts/films struggle more with their illnesses, their daughters move into their lives again. Sandy (in *Omaha*) moves into her father's house, and hence, the two can and must interact face-to-face and talk about issues that have built up. Mickey (in *Trouble* with the Curve) moves into Gus' "home" on the road, joining him on a scouting trip where she is living at the same motel and joining him at the same games, bars, and restaurants, where they must awkwardly try to reconnect. It is critical to appreciate that in both stories, the writer chose to force the daughter, almost inexplicably, into the epicenter of her father's otherwise "hard to catch up with" baseball-centric life. In *Omaha*, Sandy puts aside her sales job to move in with her father and finish her college degree (or if the notes were followed, she would be

putting aside a professional career such as that of a paralegal or lawyer). This is a major life decision and against the grain of what has been a long time of her pushing her father away out of a sense that he left her for baseball or chose baseball over her. She is a single woman with a steady professional sales job (or better) that is her means for supporting herself. She is diving headfirst into the world of a gruff man with whom she has had little conversation for years. This is a leap into her father's life. In *Trouble with the Curve*, Mickey leaves her law firm on the cusp of making partner, to go on the road and room next door to her father at a grungy motel to help him do his job. This is a leap into her father's life. In doing so, she puts her job and partnership at risk, which is her means of supporting herself and she, too, dives headfirst into the world of a gruff man with whom she has had only awkward conversations for years.

- daughter to leap out of their careers and into their father's baseball-centric lives, the occurrence of this leap is essential to move both stories (since they follow the same plot) to their climax and resolution, which will involve the reunion of father and daughter.
- 130. While both fathers eat horribly unhealthy food and drink a lot and smoke cigars (smoking cigars was introduced in the concept reel for *Omaha*), their daughters are into eating and living a healthy life. Sandy makes Tofurkey, which is tofu turkey and makes and serves oatmeal. Mickey juices and eats healthily too. Both daughters give their fathers a hard time about their unhealthy eating habits.
- 131. Both fathers start to open up once their daughters have leapt back into their lives and incorporated themselves into their daily baseball routines. However, both daughters are as sarcastic as their fathers, and quick to push their fathers away. Both fathers initially try to keep things on the surface with their daughters, while trying to hide/downplay their health issues. They both want their daughters to go back to something that will elevate their careers. Dodge wants

Sandy to go back to college to earn a degree and maybe teach (and in the notes, to go back to a professional legal type job). Gus wants Mickey to return to her law firm to be elevated to partner. They both feel their daughters deserve that next step up in life. Both fathers have uncomfortable moments with their daughters when they move back into their lives, including arguments that end with one or the other slamming a door or sarcastically saying "nice to see you too." Each father avoids touching on the painful stories that resulted in their pushing their daughter away after their wife died.

- 132. Both fathers are confronted, when they least expect it, by their daughters about past events that lead to their strained relationship. Both daughters accuse their fathers of checking out on them when they were younger. Sandy unloads, finally, on her father at his house when he is not expecting it. Mickey unloads on her father at a diner when he is not expecting it. Both men eventually react by explaining how hard it was for them after their wives died. These father-daughter discussions are dramatic and unfold into a revelation about why the two went their own ways.
- 133. Both daughters figure out their father's illness by talking to doctors and are angry at their fathers for hiding it. Both daughters have hospital scenes with their father, and both run to their father's rescue. While both daughters begin the journey back into their father's lives tentatively, and seem ready to make a quick return to their own lives, as things evolve, they both admit to having a dysfunctional sense of responsibility to make sure their fathers are given the help they need. And both daughters provide it.
- 134. Both daughters start hesitantly to take care of their fathers. Both help their fathers through a challenge in the fathers' career and both challenges include an enormous responsibility therein. While both men are initially shown to be proudly driving their old cars on their own, even if they are hitting the garage walls; by the end, both daughters end up driving the fathers to the places they need

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to go. Each daughter starts to give her father advice about his baseball job. In this way, each father surrenders his autonomy and independence to his daughter.

135. As each father warmly reunites with his daughter, each daughter discloses her own love for the game of baseball (each has a love/hate relationship with baseball as it was viewed as the thief that stole their father from them). Moreover, each opens up enough to share with her father that she has pined away for years simply wanting to have her father make time to play baseball with her, even just a little bit of ball. So as the sun comes up, Dodge takes his daughter Sandy into the backyard with a pair of baseball gloves to play catch with her. Gus pitches to Mickey on a baseball field as the sun goes down. Both daughters delight beyond words in this experience. It is a part of the climax really in both of the father-daughter stories and their journey to overcome being separated by the very game that is now bringing them back together. These are warm and touching moments, that are essentially identical, in both stories. It is important to note that even within this father-daughter story plot, there were many choices for the writer as to how the father and daughter could have ended up bonding. The daughters could have had their fathers do something outside the game with them, like going shopping or sharing a different passion of their own. Instead, the precise same choice is made in both. Indeed, it is a bold choice, full of irony: the daughters pick the very game of which they have been jealous for having taken their fathers from them to help with a reunification with their father -- choosing to play some light baseball with their fathers for a precious moment, in order to complete their reunion.

- 136. Both stories then race through to a feel-good ending that smacks of a traditional "Hollywood ending." Both end with the father and daughter on a baseball field together and a touching last moment at a baseball park together with the credits rolling.
 - 137. There are even more other similarities. The Rod character from

9

8

11

10

13

14

12

15

16 17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

Omaha is boastful about himself in an over-the-top way at the outset. The Brady character from *Omaha* is a ladies' man who makes comments about all the women he can date. In *Trouble with the Curve*, the boastful high school recruit, Bo Gentry (herein "Gentry"), is a merger of both and his dialogue is very much classic Handfield writing.

- 138. Both stories and scripts have scenes where smoke alarms go off in the kitchen. Additionally, in *Omaha*, Dodge played for the Atlanta Braves and in Trouble with the Curve, Gus scouts for the Atlanta Braves. Both the Dodge character and the Gus character are depicted in a moving scene looking into a mirror and examining their older selves where they truly realize and reflect on the reality that they are growing older and weaker. In the polish draft of *Omaha* that Handfield and DiFiglia turned in to Brooks, on page 23, it read, "Coach [Dodge] stares at the bruises in the mirror, then into his eyes a moment. Feeling his age." In Trouble with the Curve, on page 49, Gus "looks at himself in the mirror. Really looks. Like it's the first look in a long, long time. And he's surprised. Revealing his very receding hairline. Feels around his face. Rough. Wrinkles. Moves to his arms and hands. Runs his fingers over all the sun and age spots. The gifts of father-time. Back to the mirror. Resigned." In both, they literally miss a step while walking as a sign of their illness and they try to quickly pass it off. This particular scene is used in both *Omaha* and *Trouble with the Curve* in the precise same manner: to drive home the main character's illness is progressing now.
- 139. Both feature bar fights with broken beers bottles at honky-tonk, rural bars. In *Trouble with the Curve*, Gus breaks a beer bottle to use in a fight at a bar. In one version of *Omaha*, Handfield uses this tactic again by incorporating a bar fight scene where a "meathead" breaks a beer bottle over a player's head.
- 140. Yes, there are even more similarities. There is a character in *Omaha* named after Mickey Mantle and the daughter in *Trouble with the Curve* takes on that same namesake.

3

11

12

9

13

15

16

14

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

26 28

- 141. Significantly, both stories take a young man who is a colleague of the father right out of the same baseball world and make him a love interest for the daughter. In both stories, the father is depicted as almost insanely overprotective of his daughter as she re-enters his life; yet, in both stories the father approves of the daughter dating and falling in love with a young man from the very game that has owned him during his life. In both stories, the young male love interest of the daughter lightens the mood between father and daughter and helps build a bridge between them, in place of the missing mom. The love interest for the daughter is intentionally made lighter and more easygoing than either the father or the daughter.
- 142. Co-Conspirator Handfield once described *Omaha* in writing to a third party as follows: "It's about an irascible college baseball coach trying to lead his small school to the college world series his last year of coaching. He is also trying to reconcile with his estranged daughter." Brooks and Handfield also regularly characterized Omaha as a first of its kind father-daughter story set against the backdrop of baseball. The fact that baseball was to be really only a backdrop to the main plot was central to Gold Glove Productions' marketing strategy. This creative decision was made to broaden the appeal of this movie beyond the typical baseball fan to include fathers and daughters and theater goers who could relate to a daughter trying to reunite with a father, much the way Brooks' mother dreamed she could have done with her own father before dying.
- 143. The reviews for *Trouble with the Curve* could have just as easily described *Omaha*. For example, one reviewer for Baseball America wrote: "Like most great baseball movies, the sport only serves as the backdrop to a bigger story and Trouble with the Curve is no exception. While many of the film's scenes take place at ballparks, Robert Lorenz's debut as director (and Randy Brown's debut as a screenwriter) is more about a man struggling to come to terms with his old age and declining career and a daughter trying to connect with her father." This one

1	
2	

6

7

5

8

9

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

and virtually every other review could describe either story or both at the same time.

144. The story told in the copyrighted drafts of *Omaha*, taken in conjunction with the copyrighted concept reel that was provided in connection with it (or just taken alone), is substantially similar to the story told in *Trouble with the Curve*, and if one looks squarely to the main plot, the two are strikingly similar.

XV. ACCESS WAS GIVEN TO HANDFIELD AND DIFIGLIA, THEN TO FERRARO, AND THROUGH THE CONSPIRACY, TO ALL THE DEFENDANTS

145. Co-Conspirator Handfield and DiFiglia had access to all of the *Omaha* notes, Run Down treatments, copyrighted drafts of Omaha, Brooks' own notes, the concept reel, and all of the Brooks' private baseball stories, including, for example, being hit in the head by a fastball in the neighborhood of 100 miles per hour Josh Beckett pitch. Upon information and belief, Co-Conspirator Brown may have seen and been given access to all or most of the foregoing. Given Co-Conspirator Ferraro's involvement in the falling out between Gold Glove Productions and Handfield in late 2008, upon information and belief, Ferraro had access to at least the draft on which his client was working when he was making the final polishes to Omaha and Brooks' notes for that final polish. The other Defendants will be proven to have had access to the copyrighted and original intellectual property of Gold Glove Productions by virtue of the conspiracy described below. Further proof of the particulars of this shady business will be secured through upcoming discovery, but needless to say, each Defendant's very visible fingerprints are all over the infringing work and process, which are at their core and in all material respects strikingly similar.

XVI. THE FINGERPRINTS OF THEFT AND COPYING OF THE PLAINTIFFS' INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

146. In life, many cover-ups, ranging from the Dreyfus Affair to
Watergate, start to unravel with a small crack that breaks open and exposes the
hidden truth. There are many such cracks in this instance. First, on page 17 of one
of the final drafts of <i>Trouble with the Curve</i> there is a scene depicted in the script
(and the film) where kids are playing baseball in an open field. One of the kids
says "I'm gonna be Josh Beckett and I'm gonna throw at your head." Plaintiff
Brooks played against Josh Beckett in high school. In fact, he had several well-
documented and witnessed battles at the plate when Josh Beckett pitched against
him. Josh Beckett was already throwing at or near 100 miles per hour. He is one
of the few who ever did so, much less at such a young age. In one game, Brooks
hit a horne run off of Josh Beckett and Beckett "eyeballed" Brooks all the way
around the bases, as Brooks took his home run trot. When they next faced each other in a summer league game, Josh Beckett threw a fastball (traveling in the
neighborhood of 100 miles per hour) at Brooks' head and it hit him in his ear.
Brooks had to be taken to the emergency room. He suffered a serious concussion
and easily could have been killed. This is all documented and there are plenty of
witnesses. Brooks knows Josh Beckett. Brooks shared this story many times with
Handfield when the two were collaborating on the earlier drafts of <i>Omaha</i> . The
scene in Trouble with the Curve where one kid pretends to be Josh Beckett and
talks about throwing at the head of the other had to have been written by
Handfield. Brown, the imposter given credit for writing Trouble with the Curve,
quit playing any baseball in his early teens, and grew up in the Bay Area. Brown
never faced Josh Beckett and has never to this day met or spoken with Brooks
about that incident. There would be no logical basis upon which Co-Conspirator
Brown would have written that scene. Brown admits to following Bay Area teams
as a casual sports fan. The scene could have depicted the kids mimicking Barry

Bonds or any of the old A's pitchers like Mark Mulder, Barry Zito or Tim Hudson; but instead, it referenced the Houston, Texas native and former Boston Red Sox star, Josh Beckett and a specific incident that involved Plaintiff Brooks.

147. The bars where fights break out are all roughly described in the same way. This is one of Handfield's favorite types of scenes. He wrote one into *Trouble with the Curve*, where out of nowhere Gus slams a patron (who was hitting on his daughter) against the wall. He smashes a beer bottle against the table and holds the jagged glass to the patron's face. Gus actually lunges forward with the broken beer bottle. This same type of scene can be found on page 53 in *Omaha* in which Handfield wrote a scene where a "meathead" breaks a beer bottle on a player's head at a bar. Both scenes in *Omaha* and *Trouble with the Curve* with fight scenes using a broken bottle in a honky-tonk bar are written in Handfield's hand and style.

148. "Todd" is the name of one of Handfield's best friends. Actually, his name is Todd Smith and Brooks came to know this when he and Handfield were friends. Handfield likes to name characters after people in his life. Also, Handfield would often call Todd Smith, "Smitty." Hence, one of the characters at the law firm at which Mickey (in *Trouble with the Curve*) works is named "Todd." Not surprisingly, another character in the *Trouble with the Curve* script is named "Smitty."

as playing third base. Of course, of the nine positions on a baseball field, Brooks also played third base. Gentry is depicted as a high school standout who has the scouts swarming. That was a reflection of Plaintiff Brooks and Brooks shared with Handfield all the details of his high school years when the scouts followed him. Moreover, there is a part in *Trouble with the Curve* when Gentry hits a home run and elects not to give his third base coach a high-five. This is another story from the baseball life of Brooks, to which several could and will testify, that Brooks told

150. Handfield and Brooks also felt it would be a good idea to name the daughter of the father in *Omaha* after Brooks' mother, Sandy, and both Handfield and Brooks came to realize that she could also be viewed as being named after the great Los Angeles Dodger baseball player, Sandy Koufax. When Handfield changed *Omaha* to *Trouble with the Curve*, he named the daughter Mickey, after the New York Yankees' great Mickey Mantle, and did that easily by borrowing "Mick" (as the nickname for Mickey which had already been given to one of the players in *Omaha*.

the College World Series in Onaha, that he haves of the local teams which did not make it to the College World Series, worked as hot dog and peanut vendors at this event. Handfield came to learn from Brooks how much these players dreamed of making it onto the field and playing in the College World Series against the top prospects. This anecdote is written into a part of the storyline in *Omaha* based on discussions between Brooks and Handfield about what it must feel like to be the local players who have to work as food vendors during games while the top flight teams and real hot shot prospects get to play for the National Championship. Not surprisingly, *Trouble with the Curve* suspends reality and forces a scene into that film in which a local player is forced to be a vendor at games while the hot shot recruit, Gentry, plays. But eventually, the local player/peanut vendor gets discovered and is brought in to pitch against Gentry. This was an odd twist on that same concept from *Omaha* that several critics found less than credible, unrealistic,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

152. In yet another clear imprint of Handfield's work, he included a scene in *Trouble with the Curve* in which Gus is at the gravesite of his departed wife having lunch, figuratively, with her. First, he mentions the Dogwood trees. Dogwood trees are the flowering state tree of Virginia, where Handfield, not Randy Brown, grew up (Brown was raised in San Jose, California). Then, the Gus character mentions the presence of Blue Jays in the cemetery. Blue Jays are in Virginia year-round. Again, Handfield grew up in Northern Virginia and encountered many Blue Jays and Dogwood trees in the spring time when *Trouble* with the Curve takes place. Besides the setting being clearly something Handfield (and not Brown) would contrive, there is a statement made by Gus that sent shivers down Brooks' spine when he heard it uttered on screen: Gus complains that "ever since Rosenblatt's closed" he has not been able to get a good pastrami sandwich. Rosenblatt Stadium was the site of the College World Series, an esteemed event from the early 1940s through 2010. In fact, Handfield and Brooks spent time at Rosenblatt Stadium in 2005 at the College World Series researching the game of baseball at a high level and writing. Rosenblatt Stadium offered an amazing pastrami sandwich. This classic sandwich is believed to have originated in Omaha, Nebraska. Some complained that the new stadium that replaced it was too corporate and did not serve up the same pastrami sandwiches. Handfield learned of the connection between pastrami sandwiches and Rosenblatt and blatantly, but awkwardly, used it in *Trouble with the Curve*. Those words ("ever since Rosenblatt's closed" in reference to Gus not being able to get a good pastrami sandwich anymore) were written by Handfield. *Trouble with the Curve* is set in the Deep South, mostly Georgia, and while the Deep South has many fine examples of cultural cuisines, such as grits, fried chicken, black-eyed peas and cornbread, it is not known for pastrami sandwiches. An investigation has revealed that there appears to be no famous deli's or sandwich shops in that region called "Rosenblatt's" that even exists or has recently closed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

153. Handfield's orientation is football from his years at Ohio State and he is admittedly not a baseball guy. His baseball knowledge all came from Brooks and his field trips with Brooks. Handfield's book, script, and film, Touchback, is centered on football. Handfield's football orientation is all over Trouble with the Curve and, apparently, no one on the production team of this infringing film knew enough about baseball to correct Handfield's mistakes. First, Trouble with the *Curve* references the use of a "scouting combine" to evaluate baseball prospects. The word "combine" is not a term used in baseball, it is a term used in football. This is found on page 12 of one of the later drafts of *Trouble with the Curve* and mentioned in the film. "The Combine" also just happens to be the name of Handfield's production company, with actor Jeremy Renner, who may have had a friendship or association with Defendant Randy Brown, as well as Defendant Handfield. On page 77 of *Trouble with the Curve*, a marching band is stomping around the field. Marching bands do not go on the fields and play at baseball games, but they do play at football games. Also, the way in which *Trouble with* the Curve depicts the MLB draft is wrong; but, the depiction is consistent with the NFL draft.

154. The language the scouts use is mostly very wrong. It does not sound like true baseball language for the most part. Alternatively, Brown admits to having never attended a game with a scout and in interviews could not remember the name of a single scout. It appears that Handfield did his best and possibly cribbed a bit from *Moneyball* too, but was lost without Brooks by his side while he tried to dress the copyrighted father-daughter story in *Omaha* into the infringing *Trouble with the Curve*. He made mistakes.

that a regular, legible rhythm of using single words for focus, clarity, and emphasis after a period or comma is common with Handfield in all of his writing. This use of a single word after a period or comma serves as one word punctuation. This is consistently found in four feature length screenplays written by Handfield and five web series episodes for *Driver's Ed*, also written by Handfield. Interestingly, when Brooks hired Kyle Fuller to help him move the script forward, the resulting draft of *Omaha* in 2007 used longer sentences with much more prose, which replaced much of Handfield's characteristic writing style. Yet, Handfield's signature writing tics can be found profoundly throughout *Trouble with the Curve*. Handfield also regularly uses the words "pissed" and "incredulous," and enjoys employing nostalgia. He is quick to drop in references to war veterans and past wars. He did this in both *Omaha* and in a scene in *Trouble with the Curve*. The little bit of episodic writing done by Brown over twelve or thirteen years ago presents none of these traits.

- 156. One of the clogging capitals of the world is Northern Virginia and there were many festivals featuring clogging around Herndon, where Handfield grew up and went to high school. There is a clogging scene in *Trouble with the Curve*. There is a heavy use of nostalgia reflected in old cars and old school approaches in both scripts. This, too, is vintage Handfield.
 - 157. Handfield is fond of writing family photos into scenes, and does so, as

noted in both *Omaha* and *Trouble with the Curve*. He also likes to place photos on dashboards of cars, and did so in *Hello My Name is Jason Scott*, for example, and in *Trouble with the Curve*.

- 158. Again, we see Handfield has a practice of depicting scenes where family photos cover walls. This is done in many of his dramatic screen plays in nearly the same manner, including all three of his versions of *Omaha*, *Touchback*, *Love Always*, and of course, also in *Trouble with the Curve*.
- 159. While the word "pissed" is common in vernacular, it is not entirely common for a writer to so constantly use it as a means of conveying someone is angry. The word "pissed" appears in the *Omaha* drafts, *Hello My Name is Jason Scott*, *Driver's Ed Pole Position, Love Always, Touchback*, and, of course, *Trouble with the Curve*. It is not just the use of a word or concept, but how Handfield uses them. Again, his writing DNA traces through all his scripts, inclusive of his counterfeit, infringing version of *Trouble with the Curve*, and in fact in a very noticeable fashion according to one industry expert with stellar credentials.
- American character as to whom the grumpy or surly main character is close or closer to than others initially. In *Omaha*, it's the "Rod" character, in *Touchback* it is the "Gig" character, in *Hello My Name is Jason Scott* it is "Black Jason," and in *Trouble with the Curve* it is "Lucious." An expert will testify that Handfield's handling of black characters, how he names them, and how he presents them is consistent throughout and representative of how he presents these characters as a writer.
- 161. A top industry expert has found many of the writing "handprints" of Don Handfield in *Trouble with the Curve*. Handfield has used the concept of a character eating dinner foods for breakfast in several of his scripts, and likes to refer to Barcaloungers in his scripts. These writing habits of Handfield, not Randy

Brown, are all present in <i>Trouble with the Curve</i> . According to a top film school
expert who has read hundreds of scripts in their career and is expert at indentifying
a writer's style and habits, it leaps off the page that Handfield, not Brown, not
Weisler, and not Lorenz, wrote Trouble with the Curve and that it was a poorly
cloaked and watered down version of Omaha, on which he collaborated for years
with Brooks, under a work-for-hire contract with Gold Glove Productions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

XVII. THE FACTS TO DATE POINT TO A SHADY CONSPIRACY: ONE THAT IS MALICIOUS, OPPRESSIVE, FRAUDULENT, AND A RACKET

162. Brown was fraudulently and unethically given the sole screenplay credit for *Trouble with the Curve*. It is crystal clear he did not write this script and the facts that follow will draw the reader and finder of fact into a sea of intrigue that envelopes the deceit that took place. The actual writer of *Trouble with the* Curve was, unequivocally, Defendant Handfield (which is largely based on his collaborative effort with Plaintiff Brooks on *Omaha* and the copyrighted story therein). In writing Trouble with the Curve, Handfield was trying to camouflage his taking the heart and soul from the unique father-daughter baseball story found in the copyrighted script *Omaha*, which he wrote for Gold Glove Productions as a work-for-hire. As this Complaint further pulls the web of this conspiracy apart, Handfield's motive for stealing the father-daughter baseball story from *Omaha* will become clear. In writing Trouble with the Curve, Handfield used: (1) the Omaha scripts and the father/daughter story therein; (2) notes taken from his collaborative writing of *Omaha* with Brooks; (3) parts of the Gold Glove Productions' *Omaha* concept reel; (4) part of Brooks' life experiences; (5) faulty football terminology and references; and (6) parts of *Moneyball*. Handfield and his Co-Conspirators then passed the very valuable sole writing credit to a straw man in the person of Defendant Brown.

163. Brown grew up in San Jose, California. He was born in 1959 and is

roughly 54 years old. At the relevant time for this matter, he was in his early fifties		
playing in a band called <i>The Neighbors</i> , which had been touring small bars and		
bar/restaurant venues like Monty's Steakhouse throughout California and Las		
Vegas. His band also performed at weddings. He had not a single screenplay		
credit to his name when the events at issue unfolded. At that time, he was in a		
marginal band with a friend from his childhood, covering Springsteen tunes and		
they were writing some of their own stuff, (songs that is) and kicking it during		
weddings and in small venues. By his own admission in the few carefully		
controlled interviews Defendant Brown has given to date about Trouble with the		
Curve, he was not, during this time or any other time, (1) playing baseball, (2)		
meeting with scouts or managers or (3) attending any high school or college		
baseball games. He had quit playing baseball as a young teen and ditched his		
cleats for acting. After giving acting a spin in New York and finding pretty much		
only light soap series work, he came back to California, took up a screen writing		
course at UCLA in early 2000, and was largely unsuccessful as a screenwriter,		
landing only two writing jobs in two years, and one shared credit and one full		
credit for non-prime time episodic television scripts. Realizing he was not likely to		
make a living writing or acting, Brown appears to have taken up playing music in a		
band that can be fairly characterized as one of many looking for wedding gigs and		
small venue work. This was what he was largely doing over the last decade.		

a baseball nut when he was fifteen years of age. However, he failed to explain why, if he was a baseball nut at that age, he quit the sport. Plus, that was over thirty-five (35) years ago. He was himself, unlike Brooks of Gold Glove Productions, never scouted. He has not yet, in any interview, even named a player whom he knew was scouted or a scout with whom he spoke. The scripts that are so-called "his" do not make a single dedication to anyone associated with the game of baseball.

165. Co-Conspirator Brown lies and says he wrote a romantic comedy in 1995 or 1996 about two scouts who were scouting the same player and who fell in love. He says that he sent that purported script to a few places and that it was well received and he suggests that somehow Dustin Hoffman was interested in the project or attached to it, and while admitting in interviews that he is aware that persons in Hollywood rip off the screenplays of others, he admits he never registered this screenplay he sent to heavy hitters with the Copyright Office. These parts of the lies told by Brown and the others associated with him in this racket are simply not credible on any level. Moreover, he has never produced a copy of the romantic comedy (yet). Brown has admitted he has never personally met with a scout, travelled with a scout or personally known a scout, and that he was never scouted. Yet, he claims to have written an entire comedy about such a unique class of persons. That is not credible. He claims that this was a love story involving two scouts. Well, historically there have been just a few female baseball scouts. To be motivated or moved to write any story about a male and female baseball scout falling in love, the writer would have to know about the few female baseball scouts, and know that subject well. Brown does not know anything well about any scouts, much less the historical nuances of female baseball scouts. Furthermore, there is not even a scintilla of real evidence to date that any such script was submitted to Dustin Hoffman. (This was an easy "go to" story made up by the Co-Conspirators, given the fact that Defendant Cohen was previously partnered with Dustin Hoffman). Interestingly, the Co-Conspirator who was set up to vouch for the alleged earlier submissions of independently created drafts of screenplays by Brown is none other than Jay Cohen, whose hands are all over this situation.

- 166. Brown says he tossed this hot romantic comedy about two adult scouts into a drawer way back in early 2000 or so, or earlier. That was over thirteen (13) years ago.
 - 167. Brown did do, as noted, some minor episodic television at or around

1	this same time. Brown received two writing credits for his work on two episodes
2	for the TV show entitled Twice in a Lifetime. The first was a show entitled "Knock
3	Out." From the viewpoint of Plaintiffs' retained experts, no aspect of that writing
4	reinforces that Brown wrote any part of Trouble with the Curve. The second and
5	last episodic television show as for which Co-Conspirator Brown was given any
6	credit was interestingly called "Curveball." One might think for a moment that
7	this would be some evidence that Brown would then pen a script called Trouble
8	with the Curve. Not so. The two scripts could not be further apart or reveal
9	authors who were more different, in nearly every way. The "Curveball" episode is
10	about a kid who is forced to keep playing baseball by his "win at all costs" father
11	and who is then killed by a foul ball. The child comes back to life for three days
12	back in time to when his own father was being forced to play Little League by his
13	father, and being pressured to with at all costs. The main character's mission is to
14	break the cycle of abusive pressure to play Little League baseball and win at all
15	costs. This episode hardly reveals the writings of an experienced baseball
16	enthusiast or participant. In point of fact, a careful reading of Brown's limited
17	interviews reveal that as soon as he was old enough to make his own decisions as a
18	young teen, he quit baseball and soon after fled to New York City to act. One
19	might even venture a reasonably safe guess that Brown may have had a father who
20	pressured him to play baseball, or knew of one. Sure enough this episode had
21	nothing to do with hitting a curveball or the spirit of the game at the higher levels
22	of a national power high school, college and pros, and nothing to do with a father-
23	daughter story.
24	168. After writing a less than stellar episode about a young man who not
25	only hates playing Little League but comes back to life to discourage his father

only hates playing Little League but comes back to life to discourage his father from playing, Brown quit this career and moved at some point into music. This was a pattern for Co-Conspirator Brown. He quit baseball, he quit acting, he quit writing, and he had found a home in a small venue bar/wedding gig band.

26

27

28

11 12

10

1415

13

17

16

18 19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

169. Brown likely presented himself to the other Defendants and Co-Conspirators as someone who knew about baseball, at least in a very general sense, especially when it came to the Bay Area's sports teams. However, this is not a man who has spent his life, or any significant part of it, playing baseball. Furthermore, he does not come across in any of his interviews as a man with a true passion for the sport. He openly admitted that he did not even bother go out to a baseball field and sit with a scout to watch a full game.

170. Most tellingly, Brown did not once speak about, or offer any insight into, the genesis of the touching and moving father-daughter story told in *Trouble* with the Curve (and that originated in Omaha) -- the first father-daughter film ever made or script ever written (except for the real script, *Omaha*) against the backdrop of modern day baseball. This is because he did not write it, or conceive of that original story or plot idea. Brooks, the high school and college standout, who lived the life of a scouted and managed baseball player, who cared for his mother during her battle with cancer and listened attentively as she shared her pain from being estranged from her father, felt that story in his heart, lived part of it in his life and conceived of it. Handfield wrote it as a work-for-hire, and then stole it and camouflaged it and somehow agreed with one or more that Co-Conspirator Brown would be a good stand-in as the imposter writer. Brown can talk some fast nonsense about baseball, which exposes to anyone who knows the game that he does not know it much at all. So, maybe Handfield, who inadvertently was filling the camouflaged rewrite of *Omaha* with non-applicable football terminology, and some of his other non-baseball cohorts, thought that Brown would pass the smell test as an authentic, steeped expert/fan of baseball. They were wrong. A wellknown local baseball legend will appear at trial to testify about how horribly unbaseball educated Randy Brown sounds in his few staged and controlled interviews regarding *Trouble with the Curve*. For Brown, his story is simple: Trouble with the Truth.

1	
2	sc
3	sc
4	W
5	ro
6	de
7	pe
8	fe
9	th
10	th
11	cl
12	A
13	ne
14	
15	ał
16	in
17	fe
18	dı
19	ar
	1

171. Brown represented in a number of interviews that he wrote "ten scripts and twenty drafts." However, Defendant Brown is unclear about which script he was writing. Upon reading all of his public interviews together, this is what Defendant Brown has said regarding his script writing: He wrote some romantic comedy about a male and female scout that he only very vaguely described; then, he supposedly sent this script around to a group of unnamed people or productions companies; then, those unnamed people gave him positive feedback; then, he took this script that he has only vaguely described and said he threw it into some drawer (and never registered it for copyright protection even though he admits that he believes people in Hollywood steal scripts); then, he claims he did not think about this script until 2008 or so when he read some *Los Angeles Times* article about some scout whose name he cannot recall and whom he never even phoned to interview.

about a scout whose rather does not recall, he thought about his script that was in his drawer. However, he admits that he never tried to contact the scout who was featured in the article and he did nothing further with the script sitting in his drawer. He further admits that he took no action with respect to that script for another couple of years. Then, he claims he pulled the script out of the drawer years later and in conjunction with a production manager, who predominantly works on horror films, reworked his purported romantic comedy into a unique, first of its kind dramatic father-daughter story, one of reunion, set against the backdrop of baseball. He did not register any version of the screenplay until early 2012, when *Trouble with the Curve* was in pre-production, which is highly unusual within the industry on many levels. So, of course, one would have to ask: when Brown says he wrote "ten scripts and twenty drafts," was that of the romantic comedy thrown into the drawer or of the infringing screenplay at issue, *Trouble with the Curve*? Also, one has to ask why this screenplay was not registered by

7

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

anybody until long after the trades had announced that Eastwood would star in this film, Lorenz would direct it, and Malpaso will produce the film -- and until after the film was in pre-production hurtling toward production. And, for how long was Handfield holding onto his claim to this script he wrote (by infringing and stealing the plot and story of *Omaha*) before passing off the credit to Brown for other consideration?

173. The lie baked by the Co-Conspirators really imploded when Co-Conspirator Brown gave an interview to an esteemed baseball writer Rob Edelman (herein "Edelman"), who will be called to testify in this trial. In this interview, Brown lied and contradicted his life experiences, postured and admitted his lack of background or experience sufficient to write Trouble with the Curve. Brown, never the successful actor either, flubbed his lines in his interviews so badly that Co-Conspirator Malpaso sent assistants running with names to fill in the blanks. This is documented by Edelman himself.

174. First, Edelman asks, "And what is the genesis of *Trouble with the* Curve?" Brown answers, "I chose this subject because, well, I just know baseball. As a kid, I read the box scores every day and I knew baseball trivia. But what really inspired me more than anything was Jerry Maguire. That's one of my favorites." Well, the first thing that is evident and lays the lie to Brown and exposes him as an imposter is that he said not one thing about the father-daughter story or the genesis for that plot that drives this script and film, and he never does. He said he chose the moving father-daughter story because he just knows baseball.

175. If you try enough cases where there is a claim that someone stole someone else's creative idea, there is a simple way to tell who is lying and who is telling the truth: if the person really created the story himself or herself, he/she will tell you a detailed, genuine, spot-on story of how this creative work, story or song came to him/her and was born inside him/her. Play Brown's answer back: he allegedly wrote this moving, unique father-daughter story "because he just knows

1	baseball." Now, play back Brooks' answer to that question: he was a high school
2	All-American and an All-Big 12 Conference third baseman at the University of
3	Texas, which is the winngingest program in the history of college baseball, seeing
4	all aspects of the game of baseball; and then he experienced his mother's
5	admission in her final days that she felt brokenhearted because of being estranged
6	from her father; and then he experienced a baseball coach lament being estranged
7	from his daughter. Which sounds genuine to you? Brown then offers his
8	childhood reading of box scores for the motivation to write this moving father-
9	daughter story. Those "would be" box scores must have been from games played
10	by the Giants or A's. One thing has nothing to do with the other. He read them as
11	a child. So what? Many members of the jury to be empanelled in this case and
12	many judges or judicial clerks read box scores when they were younger; it did not
13	inspire them to rise up decades later and write a moving father-daughter screenplay
14	of reconciliation set against the backdrop of baseball, especially one supposedly
15	reworked from a romantic comedy. Finally, Brown, who was sliding all over the place in giving this answer, said that the motivation for this father-daughter
16	baseball story was also the 1996 film Jerry Maguire. That film was almost entirely
17	, ç
18	about a football agent leaving his sports agency and falling in love with an
19	assistant who is a single mom. Again, Defendant Brown's answer indicts the
20	entire racket that claims he was the actual writer of <i>Trouble with the Curve</i> ; a
21	claim made purely in order to hide the identity of the actual writer, the one who
22	stole it from a prior work-for-hire relationship with Plaintiff Gold Glove
23	Productions, Defendant/Co-Conspirator Handfield.
24	176. As for the story's setting, Defendant Brown told Rob Edelman "My

176. As for the story's setting, Defendant Brown told Rob Edelman "My parents were from the South, from North Carolina and Mississippi. So, I have southern roots in my DNA. I became familiar with Asheville, North Carolina and the surrounding area, so that's where I set the movie." But, the truth is: Brown (1) grew up in San Jose, (2) lives in Calabasas outside of Los Angeles, (3) has never

played a single high school baseball game in North Carolina, and (4) focuses on Asheville, North Carolina which is way up in the Smoky Mountains and known for the Vanderbilt Estate and its running creeks, but is not known for high school baseball. Durham, Greensboro, and Raleigh are known for their high school baseball. And in the end, the fields set forth in *Trouble with the Curve* are all set in Georgia. Defendant Robert Lorenz said unequivocally in an interview that *Trouble with the Curve* was shot in Georgia because Brown "was from the area and chose [the Georgia locations]." Well, that is simply not true, either. Remember, Brown lives in Calabasas, California and grew up in San Jose, California, not Georgia.

177. Then, at one point in this interview, Brown says "I originally envisioned *Trouble with the Curve* as a romantic comedy about two scouts who were going after the same player, but in the writing, it eventually became more of a father-daughter story." Additionally, Brown repeatedly admits, in this and other interviews, that he never spent time with any baseball scouts, never travelled with any, was never scouted, and he is unable to even remember the name of any scout with whom he ever spoke. Hence, this part of his fabrication is also exposed. Then, he simply says the alleged romantic comedy about two adult scouts that was sitting in some drawer for over a decade just morphed into a father-daughter story (somehow on its own). This defies logic and is a bold face lie, and patently so.

Angeles Times profile on a scout, and that this article gave birth to the Gus character in his mind. The Los Angeles Times ran an article about a scout in 2009. It was not about an old school, graying, white man who is ill and set in his ways. It was about a scout named Epy Guerrero. Epy Guerrero scouts in the Dominican Republic. In 2007, there had been an article written about another Latin scout who was an impeccable dresser. And, there was an article about how the days of running from one venue to another to scout a player were now being replaced by scouting players in one stop at the Olympics. None of these articles could have

inspired the Gus character. Gus was an amalgamation of high school and college coaches for whom Brooks played or otherwise knew, and, to whom Handfield was introduced by Brooks (some of them). Defendant Brown's story, again, falls short of the truth, by a wide margin.

- Defendant Brown is attempting to pass off the following story as being true: he had a politely rejected screenplay (never registered and not yet produced to anyone who professed publicly to have seen it) that was a romantic comedy about two scouts falling in love while they scout the same player. This was sitting in a drawer at his home, when, in 2009, he read some article in the *Los Angeles Times*, apparently about a Latino scout in the Dominican Republic that inspired him to create an elderly white scout from the South. Then Brown simply did nothing until years later when he brought some version of his script to Weisler, at which point the two suddenly had written a father-daughter baseball story. One has to strain to follow the trail of fies fold by Defendant/Co-Conspirator Brown in this interview.
- simply defy logic and common sense. For example, Defendant Brown admits to being an A's and Giants fan and growing up in the Bay Area, but fails to explain why then would he read an article about a detailed profile on a Dodgers scout given the intense rivalry between the two teams. Brown also never explained the genesis of the father-daughter story, much less most of what is found in the script, *Trouble with the Curve*. He simply asks us to believe that his amorphous, romantic comedy just morphed into the unique father-daughter baseball story found by sheer coincidence in the pages of the *Omaha* script.
- 181. Furthermore, there is a segment of his interview with Edelman that was clearly coached and rehearsed by Brown ahead of time. Knowing that he was a party to stealing the copyrighted work of Gold Glove Productions and the genuinely original father-daughter story conceived of by Gold Glove Productions'

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

founder, Brooks, Brown tries to lay the ground work for the argument that Brooks really stole the idea from him. He goes on about how after this Los Angeles Times 2 article about a Dominican scout gave him the vision of the Gus (Dodge) father 3 character, he was instantly worried someone would steal his idea. In a classic 4 Freudian admission, he refers to the process of stealing another's script or story 5 6 7

idea as the type of thing that goes on all the time in Hollywood. Further, one has to keep in mind that Brown "was so worried that someone would steal his idea"

that he never registered any of his existing *Trouble with the Curve* screenplays until the film was well into pre-production.

182. Then, in this interview, Defendant Brown adds that at or about the time he read this Los Angeles Times profile on the Dominican scout "this article only added to my admiration of a scout like Gus." First, he had a romantic comedy in his drawer at home and no conception of the Gus character at this time. Gus is an irascible, cranky, cursing, heavy drinking, stubborn scout who is at odds with his daughter and going blind. Brown is asking us to believe that an article about a Dominican scout caused Defendant Brown, who had not written a lick about a father-daughter story, to look into the future when someone would introduce these characters to him and at that moment have an admiration for this later to be revealed Gus character. And, he admired this cranky fellow. Again, this is utter nonsense.

183. Keep in mind, that Defendant Brown cannot even remember the name of the scout he supposedly read about in this *Los Angeles Times* profile and he says nothing about following up with this man or travelling with him. He admits he did none of that.

184. Defendant Brown finally has the audacity to say that some time in 2011 – just some time in that year – he found this nine-year-old romantic comedy about a male and a female scout falling in love and just "did some work on it," and magically turned it into a gripping father-daughter story set against the backdrop of

7

5

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

estranged relationship with his daughter. Brown never once gives the actual genesis of any of this suddenly "new" story and plot. He is, by this time, over a decade removed from his first two and only writing credits, for two episodes of a television show, without a single screen play credit, and often travelling and on tour with his band.

185. Defendant Brown then nonchalantly says that he just "got it over to Malpaso." Brown asks those reading this interview to believe that a largely inactive writer/actor, hitting age fifty, playing in a wedding band, can throw together a script and he just "got it to Malpaso." No part of this is even close to reality.

186. When asked to give any details of the motivation for the scouting setting chosen for Trouble with the Curve, Brown says "I spoke to some scouts on the phone. No road trip; I didn't travel with them. I'm embarrassed to say I don't remember their names? (emphasis added). Defendant Brown had better start rewriting his own lines because presently he is not outling forth a story that has any credibility. If a writer was motivated to write a father-daughter story with emotion and drama against the back drop of the scouting industry, he would spend a significant amount of time with scouts, take notes, and likely dedicate his screenplay (at least in part) to them, and, at a minimum, he would remember their names. It was Don Handfield, not Randy Brown, who wrote *Trouble with the* Curve. Handfield had travelled to key baseball locations with Brooks of Gold Glove Productions and met top prospects and was exposed to scouts during that time. Handfield, while employed on this project as a work-for-hire writer and while traveling with Brooks, wrote notes about scouts in working up *Omaha*. Handfield could provide names, but Brown, when on his own as the imposter, posing as the actual writer of this story, simply could not provide the name of a single scout.

9

6

101112

14

15

13

16 17

18

1920

2122

23

2425

2627

28

187. Brown's heretofore unheralded band, *The Neighbors*, was given the perk of cutting a song on the soundtrack for the *Trouble with the Curve* film. Brown received the sole writing credit. In point of fact, if true, this story of a fifty-year-old man coming out of the woodwork to write an original father-daughter baseball story chosen by Clint Eastwood as one of his last films in which he would likely act would have normally been all over the press. Yet, here, hardly any stories were printed about this, and few interviews were given. Brown's meteoric rise was kept quiet on purpose.

188. An interesting triangle of relationships was then revealed. It turns out that Ferraro of UTA, who had been representing Handfield for years up to this time, was also, apparently, representing Brown. No formal announcement of when it was that UTA and Ferraro took on Brown as a client is found, and the agency will not give that information out and did not provide it in any of Brown's interviews. Conveniently, this very same agent (Ferraro) who was in the middle of the falling out between Gold Glove Productions and Handfield over Handfield's unacceptable polish job of the copyrighted father-daughter baseball story known as *Omaha*, and who represents Handfield, was now in fact also representing Defendant Brown. Charles Ferraro is not the type of agent who is typically representing fifty-year-old wedding band performers who have no acting credits and only two small TV script writing credits to their name. Of all the agents and agencies in Hollywood, suddenly Brown was sharing this exact same agent with Handfield. Alternatively, Brown could have inexplicably been a client of UTA and Ferraro for some time and had come to know Handfield through their common representation. This triangle of relationships, under any circumstances, certainly creates a cloud of reasonable suspicion.

189. Defendants/Co-Conspirators UTA, Ferraro, and Brown have each benefited greatly from this racket, scam, and conspiracy in that UTA, Ferraro, and Brown have now parlayed Brown's counterfeit writing credit into other high

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

190. After Brooks of Gold Glove Productions began to investigate how his unique father-daughter baseball story became the basis of *Trouble with the Curve*, he had to delicately ask questions of industry contacts who were friends with both Handfield and DiFiglia. On or about September 6, 2012, shortly before the release of Trouble with the Curve a mutual friend of Brooks and Handfield provided Brooks with what was represented to be a version of *Trouble with the Curve* written "15 years ago" by Brown. This "alleged original" draft reeks of a cover up and a botched one at that. The cover page suggests the script was submitted to Cosmic Entertainment, at a time that Defendant Cohen would have been a principal at that company, along with the other Cosmic principals Kurt Russell and Goldie Hawn. However, this script submission is "conveniently" not dated anywhere. And, the script bears no copyright registration. An expert will be retained to "date" the original of this draft. It appears that the copy received was contrived. This draft is not the romantic comedy Brown says he wrote. It also has been made to look like a slightly different draft through editing of the later scripts of Trouble with the Curve. It fails to make temporal sense. This script, which if written in 1996 references (1) laptop technology that did not exist at the time, (2) Cal Ripken as being bald when he was not, (3) cultural happenings that had not occurred as of that time, (4) it refers to Tom Glavine as being a kid when he would have actually been thirty-one and an adult and a very mature major league baseball player, and (5) Gus as the scout to recruit Hank Aaron, despite the Gus character's young age during the time period of Hank Aaron's entrance into the MLB scene. In short, this other version of *Trouble with the Curve* seems to have been contrived to suggest that Brown submitted earlier versions to production companies like the one run many years earlier by Defendant Cohen.

191. Defendant/Co-Conspirator Michele Weisler is represented by

192. In one of the few videotaped interviews Brown was allowed to do, Defendant/Co-Conspirator Weisler sat by his side. She jumped ahead of him in answering questions for him. Weisler repeats in her few interviews that Defendant Brown came to her and that she "worked on [the script] with him." She states, in at least one interview, that this "team writing" took a good bit of time with Defendant Brown. This is also largely, if not entirely, fabricated and meant to be misleading. If one were to follow and match this up with what Defendant Brown said in his interviews, then one would have to conclude that Defendant Brown brought a romantic comedy about two scouts to Defendant Weisler and the two managed to rewrite that entirely and write in the hand of Handfield while just coincidentally writing a father-daughter baseball story the plot of which is strikingly similar to the ordinary observer. In either case, Defendant Weisler is primarily a production manager, with no listed writing credits. She, too, never states the genesis of the father-daughter story in any interviews.

193. If in fact Brown was ready to submit a script to Co-Conspirator

1	Malpaso, he would have done so through his agent, Ferraro (if Ferraro had actually
2	been his agent). Weisler and Brown both stated in interviews that some unnamed
3	friend introduced the two. The "friend" is conveniently never named. Neither
4	Weisler nor Brown ever state what they were rewriting. In response to one
5	interview question, Defendant/Co-Conspirator Weisler slips and states that Trouble
6	with the Curve teaches us that life is short and never to assume we will have
7	forever to fix a relationship. She further opened Pandora's box containing the
8	subterfuge with that statement because that absolutely was the powerful message
9	of <i>Omaha</i> , in which the father/Coach Dodge is actually dying of cancer. It was in
10	Omaha (not Trouble with the Curve) that there is limited time for the father to fix
11	his relationship with his daughter. When Defendant Handfield and others rewrote
12	Omaha to become Trouble with the Curve, they watered down the delivery of this
13	point by having the father in that version of this story suffer from an eye disease
14	that is robbing his sight and ending his career, not his life. The prospect of losing
15	his sight would not have meant that he would have run out of time to fix the
16	relationship with his daughter. Again, making out of time was what was
17	happening to the father in <i>Omaha</i> , not in <i>Trouble with the Curve</i> . Nonetheless,
18	Weisler inadvertently ascribed that fact about Omaha to Trouble with the Curve.
19	Obviously, she was describing <i>Omaha</i> and it shows her familiarity with <i>Omaha</i> .
20	Upon information and belief, Defendant Weisler saw and had access to Omaha and
21	worked directly with Handfield in connection with the submission of the known to
22	be infringing Trouble with the Curve draft to Lorenz.
23	194. Lorenz's conduct does not add up either. First, he lies a few times in
24	his interviews and tries to cloud what really took place. For example, he stated in
25	one or more interviews that <i>Trouble with the Curve</i> was filmed in Georgia because

his interviews and tries to cloud what really took place. For example, he stated in one or more interviews that *Trouble with the Curve* was filmed in Georgia because Defendant Brown wanted that and was from there. This is not true on any level and was said seemingly to try to give credence to the lie that Brown really was the writer of the shooting script. He was not. Handfield and others were, and they

26

27

28

were stealing and infringing *Omaha*'s father-daughter baseball story. Moreover, Defendant Brown never lived in or anywhere near Georgia. The real truth is that, in fact, the locations of *Trouble with the Curve* were set in Georgia because of the very favorable tax incentives for filming there.

195. Defendant Lorenz's involvement in this conspiracy is clear because when Brown failed to remember the names of the scouts he was supposed to say he knew during his interviews, it was Defendant/Co-Conspirator Lorenz who sent his assistants over to provide the interviewer the names of the scouts who were supposedly forgotten (and, if you can believe it, they provided the name of a former Angels player who never was a scout and the name of an actual scout who was hired by Malpaso as part of the production of the movie, but who had never previously spoken with Defendant Brown before the infringing script was given the green light by Defendants and Co-Conspirators Malpaso and Warner Brothers).

196. Upon information and belief, Defendant/Co-Conspirator Lorenz knew this was a stolen and rogue script in the sense that he knew, at the very least, that there was some uncertainty or lack of clarity over who wrote the script submitted to him at Malpaso and at least some uncertainty or lack of clarity over who owned the rights associated with it. This is buttressed by the extraordinarily late registration of the copyrights claimed in connection with *Trouble with the Curve*. The issue of who should receive those falsely procured copyrights seemed to be up in the air for quite a while. The fact is that this father-daughter baseball story cloaked in a slow moving setting related to baseball had tremendous appeal to Defendant Lorenz. First, he had been looking for a long time to make his directorial debut. This was a critical next step in a career that had heretofore been spent in the shadows of and at the beck and call of Clint Eastwood. This story was free of spectacles and special effects that would make it necessary to employ a more experienced director. It would be a straightforward, lower budget film to shoot. Defendant Lorenz and/or his wife were drawn in by the father-daughter

advance his own career, finally getting an opportunity to direct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

197. In spinning this web of lies in interviews and in statements to the public, Lorenz downplayed his connection with Weisler, and even dismissively referred to her as some "gal" in one interview. He never offered or admitted or was honest about the extent of his relationship with her. He was, however, very aware of her role in babysitting and accompanying of the stand-in, Brown.

198. In a not so coincidentally related happening, Defendant/Co-Conspirator Handfield (who rewrote Omaha on his own or with others to become Trouble with the Curve) was himself, before all this plotting, saddened to watch his own passionate film project die in or about 2009 because there was no distribution deal or studio interest. Interestingly, it was The Gersh Agency's Cohen who was suddenly able to convince Kurt Russell to star in this low budget, limited release film (which, like Lazarus, was being resurrected from the dead) and who found a distribution deal with Anchor Bay Entertainment. This was a very important consideration to Handfield and he was walking on air. His film *Touchback* was timed to come out nearly at the same time as *Trouble with the Curve*. At least one independent journalist has associated Defendant Handfield with both *Touchback* and Trouble with the Curve. The extent of horse trading that occurred within this cover-up and conspiracy is being investigated aggressively and will be the subject of discovery in this action. One thing is for sure -- whether in back rooms, at their kids' soccer games or over cigars at a cigar club, some heavy duty, but secretive, dishonest discussions took place between these named Defendants and Co-Conspirators.

199. This conspiracy and racket in which the mail, phone, internet, and
other means were used across state lines to unlawfully carry out acts and exchange
funds yielded much for the Co-Conspirators and Defendants. Each advanced their
careers and pocketbooks at Plaintiffs' expense. Defendant/Co-Conspirator
Handfield was able to curry (or trade for) favor with the likes of Cohen and
somehow end up with <i>Touchback</i> being released and distributed around the same
time as Trouble with the Curve. Also, Handfield finally got to do what he had
always wanted: take the father-daughter story he had been hired to write for Gold
Glove Productions and do it his way, meaning to junk it up around the edges with
hokey Hollywood stuff. Defendant/Co-Conspirator DiFiglia is partnered in
business and life with Defendant/Co-Conspirator Handfield and she prospers as he
does. Defendant/Co-Conspirator Brown got to walk out of the shadows of
anonymity and enjoy fame, credits, a revived career as a writer, and a significant
sum of money at the expense of Plaintiffs. Defendant/Co-Conspirator Weisler
received her first full producer credit on a major theatrical release, a Malpaso/Clint
Eastwood production that significantly broadened her resume and she was
handsomely paid both on and off the books, upon information and belief.
Defendant/Co-Conspirator Lorenz was able to secure a film project that allowed
for his directorial debut and to provide supporting roles for member of his family.
Lorenz merely closed his eyes to the fact that this came at the expense of Brooks'
and Gold Glove Productions' rights. Defendant Lorenz lied as much as any of the
Defendants about key facts. Defendant/Co-Conspirator Ferraro received the
benefit of commissions from monies paid to Brown from the Trouble with the
Curve production and likely, in some manner, in connection with the distribution
of Handfield's <i>Touchback</i> . Accordingly, his agency, Defendant/Co-Conspirator
UTA benefited and is implicated. Defendant Cohen received compensation tied to
his role in launching Touchback and, directly or indirectly, from Defendant/Co-
Conspirator Weisler's role in connection with <i>Trouble with the Curve</i> . Finally, all

the corporate Defendants made millions off of the infringing film and follow-up releases in DVD, Blu-ray, and otherwise, and off the soundtrack and merchandising. Plaintiffs never consented to any of this, and Defendants kept their illicit conspiracy a secret for a long time, and likely thought they had not been caught until today.

XVIII. DAMAGES

200. The damages to the Plaintiffs run on many levels. Brooks lost his expected and deserved shared writing credit, directorial debut and credit, as well as a producer credit. This loss was proximately, actually, and directly caused by the Defendants and Co-Conspirators and each of them. Gold Glove Productions is entitled to the profits it would have earned had the Defendants not interfered with its exclusive copyrights to the original father-daughter baseball story, one which was not to be diluted in the final writing. Defendant Gold Glove Productions is entitled to the profits the infringing Defendants have earned, and will earn from their infringing conduct, whether such infringement resulted from their direct infringement, their contributory infringement or vicarious infringement, or any combination(s) thereof. Defendant Gold Glove Productions lost its producer credit. On certain claims for relief, attorneys' fees are appropriate and on certain other claims for relief, punitive damages are appropriate. At present, the damages are viewed to be many tens of millions of dollars. Defendants each jointly and severally, and proximately, actually and directly caused these damages. The Defendants' total reported gross revenues off of their illegal, unlawful, and infringing conduct exceeds \$90 million dollars to date and is growing in amount. Plaintiffs' reasonably expected economic advantages have been taken away by Defendants' conduct.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28 ///

2

3

5

6

7

89

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq.)

(BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 201. Gold Glove Productions repeats, alleges, and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint in paragraphs 1 through 200 as though fully set forth herein.
- 202. Gold Glove Productions is, and at all times relevant to the matters alleged in this Complaint, was engaged in the business of creating motion pictures that depict fundamental human values, and does so by commissioning works-for-hire and buying scripts, or entering production deals.
- 203. Gold Glove Productions owns the copyrights to the original screenplay drafts and concept reel versions of *Omaha* (earlier known as *Run Down*), which include an original, protected expression of a father-daughter story set against the backdrop of baseball that was conceived of by Brooks based upon his experiencing his mother's confession when dying that she regretted being estranged from her father and then hearing from a college baseball coach that he felt estranged from his only daughter. On May 15, 2006, Brooks registered a draft of the screenplay *Omaha*: Run Down, an early treatment/draft of *Omaha*, with the United States Copyright Office. This copyright was assigned to Gold Glove Productions effective as of May 1, 2006. The next draft of *Omaha* was created in July 2007 and its copyright was certified September 4, 2013 to Gold Glove Productions. These were provided by Defendant Don Handfield, in close collaboration with Brooks, as a work-for-hire pursuant to the parties' written agreements. The polish of *Omaha*, for which Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia were hired/commissioned under their written work-for-hire contracts to complete, was turned in to Gold Glove Productions on October 13, 2008 and certified for copyright on August 29, 2013 to Omaha, LLC. This copyright was assigned to

Gold Glove Productions effective as of August 1, 2013. The *Omaha* concept reel took months to create, was completed in 2007, and was certified for copyright September 23, 2013 as a work-for-hire for Gold Glove Productions pursuant to a written agreement.

204. Gold Glove Productions is the owner of all copyright rights in and to the original creative work, *Omaha* (inclusive of the *Run Down* drafts), in all of its advancing, original, unique, and protected permutations, and has never assigned, licensed or otherwise transferred its copyright rights to the aforementioned protectable expressions registered for copyright protection in the name of Gold Glove Productions to any of the Defendants, nor to any other third party.

Glove Productions' exclusive right to prepare, to exploit, to distribute and to publish and create motion pictures and other derivative works based upon the copyrighted works entitled *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*. This was done via an orchestrated, willfull, and malicious effort by Defendants/Co-Conspirators to steal the core plot and story of Gold Glove Productors' copyrighted, original, protected expression of a father/daughter baseball story that was to be made into a motion picture, camouflage it, and pass it off as the script and later-made motion picture, *Trouble with the Curve*, in order to derive profits and to also derive associated accolades flowing from the motion picture industry. The writing fingerprint and DNA of Defendant Handfield is all over this sloppily camouflaged and infringing work. Each Defendant/Co-Conspirator, acting in concert, was aware of, encouraged, aided, and benefited from disguising and infringing upon Gold Glove Productions' copyrights in and to all the *Run Down* and *Omaha* related protected expression and works, and exploiting it for profit.

206. The infringing work, *Trouble with the Curve*, was released by the aforementioned Defendants involved in the distribution and exploitation of this infringing work in theaters worldwide, beginning with its domestic release on

September 21, 2012 and grossed \$35,763,137 in domestic and \$13,200,000 in foreign box office receipts and yielded at least \$11,862,342 in DVD and Blu-ray sales, to date.

207. Defendants/Co-Conspirators violated Gold Glove Productions' exclusive right to distribute copies of the copyrighted works known as *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*, and all protected expressions therein. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators worked in concert to steal the core plot and story of a father-daughter baseball film which was embodied in these protected, copyrighted works belonging to Gold Glove Productions. This stolen idea of a father-daughter baseball film, with an irascible yet ailing father, an estranged daughter, and the backdrop of the baseball world was watered down and made into the infringing film *Trouble with the Curve*.

208. *Trouble with the Curve* is substantially similar to, if not strikingly similar to, *Omaha* and all of the related copyrighted, protected expression intended for use in a motion picture, all owned by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators copied material and high quantities of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' protectable expression found within its aforementioned copyrighted and owned works.

- 209. Defendants/Co-Conspirators exploited, distributed and published the infringing work *Trouble with the Curve*, which, in part, outright duplicates protected expression from *Run Down* and/or *Omaha* and the related protected works, which in part presents a comprehensive literal similarity to Gold Glove Productions' aforementioned, protected copyrighted works, and which in part copied portions of these copyrighted works that are important to the impact and character of the work from which these copied portions were taken.
- 210. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators had different levels of access to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' protectable expression set forth in its copyrighted and owned works entitled *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*, as alleged herein,

2

8

11

21

28

were hired/commissioned under their written work-for-hire contracts to complete,

was turned in to Gold Glove Productions on October 13, 2008 and certified for copyright on August 29, 2013 to Omaha, LLC. This copyright was assigned to Gold Glove Productions effective as of August 1, 2013. The *Omaha* concept reel took months to create, was completed in 2007, and was certified for copyright September 23, 2013 as a work-for-hire for Gold Glove Productions pursuant to a written agreement.

215. Gold Glove Productions is the owner of all copyright rights in and to the original creative work, *Omaha* (inclusive of the *Run Down* drafts), in all of its advancing, original, unique and protected permutations, and has never assigned, licensed or otherwise transferred its copyright rights to the aforementioned protectable expressions registered for copyright protection in the name of Gold Glove Productions to any of the Defendants, nor to any other third party.

216. On information and belief, Defendants/Co-Conspirators violated Gold Glove Productions' exclusive right to prepare, to exploit, to distribute and to publish and create motion pictures and other derivative works based upon the copyrighted works entitled *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*. This was done via an orchestrated, willful, and malicious effort by Defendants/Co-Conspirators to steal the core plot and story of Gold Glove Productions' copyrighted, original, protected expression of a father-daughter baseball story that was to be made into a motion picture, camouflage it, and pass it off as the script and later-made motion picture, *Trouble with the Curve*, in order to derive profits and to also derive associated accolades flowing from the motion picture industry. The writing fingerprint and DNA of Defendant Handfield is all over this sloppily camouflaged and infringing work. Each Defendant/Co-Conspirator, acting in concert, was aware of, encouraged, aided, and benefited from infringing upon Gold Glove Productions' copyrights in and to all the *Run Down* and *Omaha* related protected expression and works, and exploiting the infringing work for profit.

217. The infringing work, *Trouble with the Curve*, was released by the

aforementioned Defendants involved in the distribution and exploitation of this infringing work in theaters worldwide, beginning with its domestic release on September 21, 2012 and grossed \$35,763,137 in domestic and \$13,200,000 in foreign box office receipts and yielded at least \$11,862,342 in DVD and Blu-ray sales, to date.

218. Defendants/Co-Conspirators violated Gold Glove Productions' exclusive right to distribute copies of the copyrighted works known as *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*, and all protected expression therein. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators worked in concert to steal the core plot and story of a father-daughter baseball film which was embodied in these protected, copyrighted works belonging to Gold Glove Productions. This stolen idea of a father-daughter baseball film, with an irascible yet ailing father, an estranged daughter, and the backdrop of the baseball world was watered down and made into the infringing film *Trouble with the Curve*.

219. Trouble with the Curve is substantially similar to, if not strikingly similar to, Omaha and all of the related copylighted protected expression intended for use in a motion picture owned by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators copied material and high quantities of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' protectable expression found within its aforementioned copyrighted and owned works.

- 220. Defendants/Co-Conspirators exploited, distributed, and published the infringing work *Trouble with the Curve*, which in part outright duplicates protected expression from *Run Down* and/or *Omaha* and the related protected works, which in part presents a comprehensive literal similarity to Gold Glove Productions' aforementioned, protected copyrighted works, and which, in part, copied portions of these copyrighted works that are important to the impact and character of the work from which these copied portions were taken.
 - 221. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators had different levels of access to

Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' protectable expression set forth in its copyrighted and owned works entitled *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*, as alleged herein, but each had access, and this is in part proven by the substantial, if not striking, similarity of the works at issue.

- 222. Each Defendant/Co-Conspirator, if not directly liable for infringement of Gold Glove Productions' copyrights in the original creative work *Omaha* (also known as *Run Down*), is liable for contributory copyright infringement.
- 223. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants/Co-Conspirators to this claim for relief knew or should have known of the direct infringement of the original screenplay *Omaha* set forth in the first claim for relief set forth herein above. Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia were hired in 2008 under a Writer Agreement to complete the polish on *Omaha* and thus had firsthand knowledge of the direct infringement of the original father-daughter baseball story. Their dissemination of their personal knowledge to the rest of their Co-Conspirators provided each of the Defendants/Co-Conspirators knowledge of the direct infringement.
- 224. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants/Co-Conspirators to this claim for relief materially contributed to the infringement of the copyrighted work *Omaha*, for their own profit and benefit.
- 225. Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia camouflaged the original *Omaha* screenplay. Defendants/Co-Conspirators Cohen and Ferraro both used their personal connections within the conspiracy to orchestrate the infringement and derive profits therefrom. Defendant/Co-Conspirator Brown contributed by advancing the masquerade that he was the true author of the screenplay. Defendant/Co-Conspirator Weisler produced and Defendant/Co-Conspirator Lorenz directed the infringing work. The corporate Defendants/Co-Conspirators funded, disseminated, distributed, and exploited the infringing work.
 - 226. As a result of each of the named Defendants' contributory

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

infringement, Gold Glove Productions has suffered damages in amounts to be determined at trial but no less than tens of millions of dollars.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

(BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

227. Gold Glove Productions repeats, alleges, and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint in paragraphs 1 through 200 as though fully set forth herein.

228. Gold Glove Productions owns the copyrights to the original screenplay and concept reel versions of *Omaha* (earlier known as *Run Down*), which include an original, protected expression of a father-daughter story set against the backdrop of baseball that was conceived of by Brooks based upon his experiencing his mother's confession when dying that she regretted being estranged from her father and then hearing from a college baseball coach that he felt estranged from his only daughter. On May 15, 2006, Brooks registered a draft of the screenplay *Omaha*: Run Down, an early treatment/draft of *Omaha*, with the United States Copyright Office. This copyright was assigned to Gold Glove Productions effective May 1, 2006. The next draft of *Omaha* was created in July 2007 and its copyright was certified September 4, 2013 to Gold Glove Productions. These were provided by Defendant Don Handfield, in close collaboration with Brooks, as a work-for-hire pursuant to the parties' written agreements. The polish of *Omaha*, for which Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia were hired/commissioned under their written work-for-hire contracts to complete, was turned in to Gold Glove Productions on October 13, 2008 and certified for copyright on August 29, 2013 to Omaha, LLC. This copyright was assigned to Gold Glove Productions effective as of August 1, 2013. The *Omaha* concept reel took months to create, was completed in 2007, and was certified for copyright September 23, 2013 as a

work-for-hire for Gold Glove Productions pursuant to a written agreement.

229. At all times relevant hereto, Gold Glove Productions has been and remains the owner of all copyright rights in and to the original creative work, *Omaha* (inclusive of the *Run Down* drafts), in all of its advancing, original, unique and protected permutations, and has never assigned, licensed or otherwise transferred its copyright rights to the aforementioned protectable expressions registered for copyright protection in the name of Gold Glove Productions to any of the Defendants, nor to any other third party.

Glove Productions' exclusive right to prepare, to exploit, to distribute and to publish and create motion pictures and other derivative works based upon the copyrighted works entitled *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*. This was done via an orchestrated, willful, and malicious effort by Defendants/Co-Conspirators to steal the core plot and story of Gold Glove Productions' copyrighted, original, protected expression of a father-daughter baseball story that was to be made into a motion picture, camouflage it, and pass it off as the script and later-made motion picture, *Trouble with the Curve*, in order to derive profits and to also derive associated accolades flowing from the motion picture industry. The writing fingerprint and DNA of Defendant Handfield is all over this sloppily camouflaged and infringing work. Each Defendant/Co-Conspirator, acting in concert, was aware of, encouraged, aided, and benefited from disguising and infringing upon Gold Glove Productions' copyrights in and to all the *Run Down* and *Omaha* related protected expression and works, and exploiting it for profit.

231. The infringing work, *Trouble with the Curve*, was released by the aforementioned Defendants involved in the distribution and exploitation of this infringing work in theaters worldwide, beginning with its domestic release on September 21, 2012 and grossed \$35,763,137 in domestic and \$13,200,000 in foreign box office receipts and yielded at least \$11,862,342 in DVD and Blu-ray

232. Defendants/Co-Conspirators violated Gold Glove Productions' exclusive right to distribute copies of the copyrighted works known as *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*, and all protected expression therein. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators worked in concert to steal the core plot and story of a father-daughter baseball film which was embodied in these protected, copyrighted works belonging to Gold Glove Productions. This stolen idea of a father-daughter baseball film, with an irascible yet ailing father, an estranged daughter, and the backdrop of the baseball world was watered down and made into the infringing film *Trouble with the Curve*.

- 233. Trouble with the Curve is substantially similar to, if not strikingly similar to, Omaha and all of the related copyrighted, protected expression intended for use in a motion picture and owned by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators copied material and high quantities of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' protectable expression found within its aforementioned copyrighted and owned works.
- 234. Defendants/Co-Conspirators exploited, distributed, and published the infringing work *Trouble with the Curve*, which, in part, outright duplicates protected expression from *Run Down* and/or *Omaha* and the related protected works, which in part presents a comprehensive literal similarity to Gold Glove Productions' aforementioned, protected copyrighted works, and which in part copied portions of these copyrighted works that are important to the impact and character of the work from which these copied portions were taken.
- 235. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators had different levels of access to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' protectable expression set forth in its copyrighted and owned works entitled *Run Down* and/or *Omaha*, as alleged herein, but each had access, and this is in part proven by the substantial similarity of the works at issue.

240. A valid contract for writing services existed between Brooks and

through 200 as though fully set forth herein.

27

28

Defendant Handfield, entered into on January 17, 2005, under which Brooks hired Defendant Handfield to write for the motion picture tentatively titled *Run Down*, which was the predecessor title for the script that became *Omaha*. This contract confirmed that Defendant Handfield would base his writing on Brooks' original ideas, the rights to which are owned by Gold Glove Productions and evidenced a work-for-hire arrangement, later confirmed again in writing by the parties.

- 241. Within this writing services contract was a confidentiality clause holding Defendant Handfield to "maintain the confidentiality of the project," including by refraining from circulating any writing associated with this project even as a mere sample, without Brooks' express approval.
- 242. A second valid contract existed between Omaha, LLC and Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia, entered into June 13, 2008, under which Handfield and DiFiglia agreed to perform writing services on a work-for-hire basis in connection with the existing screenplay *Omaha*. All rights under this agreement were assigned to Gold Glove Productions effective as of August 1, 2013. Under this agreement Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia were to write a final draft of the screenplay *Omaha*, incorporating the notes shared by Brooks and other materials to which the Handfield and DiFiglia were given access, and they agreed to incorporate and abide by the terms of the earlier June 17, 2005 agreement as well.
- 243. Upon information and belief, Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia breached the aforementioned agreements as part of the conspiracy alleged herein by providing copies of the original screenplay for *Omaha*, and other copyrighted and related works and protected expressions belonging to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and/or its related entity Omaha, LLC, to the other Co-Conspirators, as alleged herein, without any consent whatsoever from Gold Glove Productions or its subsidiary, Omaha, LLC.
- 244. These breaches by Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia proximately, actually, and directly caused an interference with Gold

27

28

has developed solid business and economic relations with funding sources for its film and independent distribution companies.

249. Gold Glove Productions' next project was to be the independent production and distribution of the cinematic manifestation of *Omaha*, the fatherdaughter story set to the backdrop of baseball that Brooks and Gold Glove Productions had been moving toward production and distribution since 2005. In fact, Gold Glove Productions had an entire production team in place by 2007 to move toward the production and distribution of this film, with financing sources in the queue in part. On June 19, 2007, Brooks held a meeting at his home in Los Angeles with his *Omaha* production team. Present were: Fuller, a Co-Producer at Gold Glove Productions; Poon, who had committed himself as an Executive Producer of *Omaha*; Howell, who was also committed as a producer for *Omaha*; McDonald who was part of the *Omaha* production team and who was helping Gold Glove Productions package the film; Byrne, a Creative Assistant at Gold Glove Productions; and Tawashy, who was also part of the production team. Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' assembled team discussed product placement, financing, and was shown a concept reel in anticipation and preparation for creating a fulllength motion picture version of the aforementioned original, copyrighted fatherdaughter baseball story. The participants who were in attendance unanimously agreed that that when *Omaha* went into production, Brooks would be at the helm of the film as its director. With his assembled team behind him, Brooks planned to work aggressively to secure financing and launch into pre-production once a final, polished script was completed. In short, Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions was ready to capitalize on a set of its own economic relationships that would include independent distribution deals, and other means of exploitation of the film *Omaha*.

250. Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia were contracted to complete this polished, final draft of *Omaha* via the aforementioned Writer Agreement date June 13, 2008. They were aware that within this agreement was an impending deadline

- 251. This mockery of a final script and delays that preceded it caused the momentum for Gold Glove Productions and its assembled team to come to a temporary halt. This sabotage was accomplished with the full knowledge by Defendants Handfield, DiFiglia, and later Defendant Ferraro, that Gold Glove Productions intended on producing and directing the film once an acceptable final script was finished.
- 252. Gold Glove Productions has had economic relationships with third party sources of financing for its film projects, and with independent distribution companies. Armed with the copyrights to a first of its kind, compelling father-daughter story set against the backdrop of baseball and these film industry relationships, Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions stood ready to capitalize on the foregoing to gain and receive a substantial economic benefit in terms of the profits that would have been returned to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions upon the expected independent distribution of this film. Plaintiff Brooks stood in a similar position and through such economic relationships and the exploitation by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions of this film, also stood to secure all of the economic benefits associated with a shared writing credit, executive producer credit, and a directorial credit.

253. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants/Co-Conspirators knew of Gold Glove Productions' economic relations as an independent production company that had achieved noticeable success to date, and of the powerfully, unique father-daughter baseball story to which it held the copyrights. Upon information and belief each of the Defendants/Co-Conspirators knew of Plaintiff Brooks' plans to enjoy the economic benefits of being a co-writer, executive producer, and director in connection with this film and his associated economic relations.

254. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators intentionally acted as alleged herein to conspire to interfere with and disrupt Plaintiffs Gold Glove Productions' and Brooks' prospective economic relations and associated financial benefits that would have reasonably grown out of their producing and distributing Gold Glove Productions' copyrighted father can ghter story and film, exclusively, and without interference by any third parties, and did so act to interfere and disrupt such prospective economic relations.

255. The Defendants/Co-Corspirators engaged in injustifiable, wrongful, and illegal conduct as alleged herein, as part of a racket and conspiracy, to take for themselves all the potential economic benefit to be derived from this unique, copyrighted father-daughter baseball story, thereby disrupting and interfering with Plaintiffs Gold Glove Productions' and Brooks' prospective economic advantages associated with the exclusive, unimpeded production and distribution of this copyrighted father-daughter baseball story.

256. Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions has lost all of the profits to be gained and realized by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions upon the unimpeded, exclusive production, and distribution of its unique, copyrighted father-daughter baseball story, as the Defendants and/or Co-Conspirators rushed to market ahead of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions with an inferior but infringing and substantially similar, if not strikingly similar, father-daughter baseball story. The

Defendants have therefore illegally usurped the opportunity that belonged to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions to produce and distribute, exclusively, this unique and powerful father-daughter baseball story and taken that away from Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions. This tortuous and unjustified interference has harmed Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions in that it has lost what could have reasonably been expected to be many tens of millions of dollars in net profits and all of the acclaim and other economic benefits associated with producing and distributing such a major motion picture. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators also interfered with Plaintiff Brooks' reasonable prospective economic benefits and relations by unjustifiably taking what would have been his shared writing credit, executive producer credit, and directorial credit for other members of the alleged conspiracy and for their own gain.

257. Each of the heretofore named Defendants/Co-Conspirators engaged in the wrongful conduct alleged in detail herein. This wrongful conduct and intentional infringing of copyrights was a substantial factor in causing the harm and lost profits experienced by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and Plaintiff Brooks in an amount to be proven at the time of trial but expected to be tens of millions of dollars upon tens of millions of dollars as relates to the losses suffered by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and tens of millions of dollars in terms of the losses suffered by Plaintiff Brooks.

258. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators executed a plan to infringe upon Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' unique, copyrighted father-daughter baseball story, and to take from Plaintiff Brooks all the credits to which he would have been entitled, and associated earnings, and in so doing stole a story from Gold Glove Production and its founder, Plaintiff Brooks. This story had grown from Brooks' conversations with his mother while she was dying and relating her sorrow over her estrangement from her father, his later discussion with a baseball coach who lamented being estranged from his only daughter, and his own career as a baseball

1	standout that had spanned through high school and college. The Defendants/Co-
2	Conspirators simply harvested for themselves all of the profits, credits, and
3	recognition that was derived from their stealing, and infringing upon this
4	copyrighted work. This conduct was intentional, malicious, oppressive, secretive,
5	and fraudulent and merits a substantial punitive damages award against the
6	Defendants/Co-Conspirators.
7	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
8	<u>UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u>
9	(BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS AS TO DEFENDANTS
10	HANDFIELD AND DIFIGLIA)
11	259. Gold Glove Productions repeats, alleges, and incorporates by
12	reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint in paragraphs 1
13	through 200 as though fully sett of the herein.
14	260. Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia have benefited
15	from the unauthorized use and/or appropriation of Gold Glove Productions'
16	copyrighted screenplay for Omaha as part of the conspiracy affeged herein. They
17	both contracted with Omaha, LLC, an entity related to Gold Glove Productions
18	that assigned all rights under its work-for-hire contract with Defendants Handfield
19	and DiFiglia to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions. They were paid \$25,000 to write
20	a final draft, on a work-for-hire basis of the father-daughter baseball story under
21	development with Gold Glove Productions, as to which Plaintiff Gold Glove

development with Gold Glove Productions, as to which Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions owned all intellectual property rights. They were given access to many copyrighted versions of the *Omaha* (previously called *Run Down*) screenplay, treatments thereof, notes related thereto and a very advanced, copyrighted and directly related concept reel. They breached this contract by making a strikingly similar screenplay in an effort to steal the work-for-hire script on which they worked and for which they were paid. They also lead the charge in the infringement of Gold Glove Productions' copyrighted father-daughter baseball

story. They did this so they could both receive certain benefits. Upon information
and belief, at least Defendant Handfield was paid for having essentially stolen the
intellectual property of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and at a minimum
bartered his counterfeited knock-off of the Omaha father-daughter story for
assistance in reviving his own pet film project entitled <i>Touchback</i> .

- 261. Plaintiff's related entity contracted with Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia, paid them, and gave them access to a highly confidential, unique and valuable, first of its kind father-daughter baseball story, while expecting they would timely provide a high quality final draft and would keep this proprietary film project under tight wraps, thus honoring their contractual duty to keep this project highly confidential. Defendants/Co-Conspirators accepted payment under their contract with Plaintiff's related entity and then gained the benefits from breaching all the material promises they made under that contract, and taking the work-for-hire as their own, to do as they pleased.
- 262. It would be beyond inequitable and unconscionable for all the reasons stated herein for these two Defendants/Co-Conspirators to enjoy the benefit of taking all they did from Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions without paying for it. Defendants/Co-Conspirators received a great benefit and unjustly retained this benefit at the expense of Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

CONVERSION

(BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 263. Gold Glove Productions repeats, alleges, and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint in paragraphs 1 through 200 as though fully set forth herein.
- 264. Gold Glove Productions has an ownership right in the original creative work which is manifested as the screenplay *Omaha* and a right to

possession thereof. This right was the result of the diligent, innovative, and creative spirit of Brooks and Gold Glove Productions.

265. Each Defendant, as part of the conspiracy alleged herein, wrongfully converted and disposed of Gold Glove Productions' rights by misappropriating the screenplay *Omaha* and all related copyrighted works, including the related copyrighted concept reel, and using all of these protected and copyrighted works in connection with the production and distribution of *Trouble with the Curve* as further alleged herein. This was done in a shameful manner with utter disregard for the effort, circumstances, and passion from which the copyrighted project originated. By intentionally taking these copyrighted works and stealing substantially nearly all of their unique protected expression, the Defendant/Conspirators have stolen a core feature of the personal property value of the scripts and concept reel. Once it egally copied in any manner without consent, this personal property is valueless; its core tangible, recognizable value has been converted.

works for themselves and their own exploitation, they necessarily prevented and are preventing Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions from enjoying the intellectual property rights that give value to the underlying related personal property, notably the scripts, and concept reel themselves. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators continue to prevent Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions from enjoying the substantive and meaningful intellectual property rights that essentially given value to their copyrighted scripts and concept reel, and this is being done through their continued unlawful harvesting of such rights and exploitation thereof.

267. Throughout, Plaintiff has refused to consent to this theft of the rights incidental to and which give meaning to their ownership of the copyrighted works. In many ways, Defendants/Co-Conspirators are destroying all meaningful rights incidental and integral to the ownership of the personal property, namely the

273. At all relevant times, the Defendants'/Co-Conspirators' enterprise was

274. In furtherance and for the purpose of executing the described scheme and artifice to advance and conceal the Defendants'/Co-Conspirators' violation of Gold Glove Productions' rights, the Defendants'/Co-Conspirators', acting personally or through their agents or fellow highly coordinated conspirators, engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5). The purpose of the racketeering activity was, inter alia, to advance and conceal the Defendants'/Co-Conspirators' violation of Gold Glove Productions' protected intellectual property rights, and to derive profit therefrom.

275. The racketeering activity consisted of two or more predicate acts. First, it included the act of engaging in monetary transactions involving the proceeds of unlawful activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957. This occurred in several instances, whereby the Defendants/Co-Conspirators made arrangements to fund the production of the infringing work and sell and exploit it for profit and personal gain. Upon information and belief, the Defendants/Co-Conspirators also made under the table payments to certain members of the alleged conspiracy. Second, it also included criminal copyright infringement in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2319, as alleged herein above. In particular, the Defendants/Co-Conspirators infringed upon the three copyrighted scripts and the copyrighted concept reel of *Omaha* as part of a highly illegal scheme and scam. Each of these acts and violations resulted in or had the capacity to result in separate economic injury to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions.

276. Defendants' actions have caused and will continue to cause damages and irreparable harm to Gold Glove Productions, in amounts to be determined at trial but no less than tens of millions of dollars.

///

trial but no less than tens of millions of dollars.

28

- 283. The aforementioned acts of Defendants were unlawful. In particular, as alleged herein above, the Defendants/Co-Conspirators committed many unlawful acts including violations United States copyright law, racketeering, breach of contract, and various related violations.
- 284. Defendants'/Co-Conspirators' actions were unfair, immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, and violated principles of good faith and fair dealing. The Defendants/Co-Conspirators, as alleged herein, stole the heart of an original, compelling father-daughter baseball story, camouflaged it, and exploited it for their own unlawful gain.
- 285. Defendants'/Co-Conspirators' conduct was fraudulent in that it attempted to deceive reasonable consumers by stealing the potential award-winning story of *Omaha* and passing it off to consumers as their own original work, by camouflaging it as the resulting infringing work, *Trouble with the Curve*.

286. The aforementioned acts of Defendants/Co-Conspirators were willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive, were undertaken with the intent to defraud, and as such justify the awarding of all damages allowed under this important state statute.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF FALSE PROMISE

(BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANTS HANDFIELD AND DIFIGLIA)

- 287. Gold Glove Productions repeats, alleges, and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint in paragraphs 1 through 200 as though fully set forth herein.
- 288. Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia made three promises to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions through their written promises to related entity Omaha, LLC and its agent, Plaintiff Brooks. The three promises were as follows: (1) They would work steadfastly on the final draft and polish of

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Productions (and at the time its related entity Omaha, LLC) to rely upon these promises and to grant them access to the newest draft of *Omaha*, Brooks' carefully prepared notes for the final draft, and the very detailed concept reel, and to pay them \$25,000.

290. At the time of making these three promises to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions (through its promises made to Plaintiff Brooks and later to the related entity Omaha, LLC), the Defendants/Co-Conspirators, upon information and belief, never intended to keep any one of these promises, or to perform as promised. Instead, they moved in the direction of appropriating this unique fatherdaughter baseball story for their own purposes. The precise timing of that decision will be subject to discovery. They may well have intended at the time to delay the draft, and did drastically move the daughter character away from the character she was at the time and was to become, conveniently it now appears to make that character seem very different from the one that Defendant Handfield would eventually create in the infringing work entitled *Trouble with the Curve*. They

1	made the daughter trashy and blue collar and then made the same character in the
2	infringing work more sophisticated and professional: a lawyer on the cusp of
3	partnership. In point of fact, they had been instructed in notes Brooks provided at
4	the time of the final polish to make the daughter more sophisticated and more
5	inspiring, possibly like a lawyer or one involved in high profile legal matters.
6	They also had, upon information and belief, been showing the proprietary scripts
7	around to others, and ultimately copied this copyrighted work and tried to
8	camouflage it as the work of another. Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and its
9	predecessors in interest, Brooks and Omaha, LLC reasonably believed the
10	Defendants/Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia and their promises. Plaintiff Gold
11	Glove Productions, acting through Plaintiff Brooks as its agent, had, prior to this
12	time, no reason not to trust or not to believe either.
i	

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

291. Of course, as alleged herein, Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia did not perform any one of their false promises noted above. Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' reliance (and that of its predecessors in interest) on these critical promises in the endlopened Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions up to great harm, which it has suffered. Plaintiff's actual losses add up to no less than many tens of millions of dollars. Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions' reliance on these false promises was a substantial factor leading to the great harm suffered. Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions has been stripped of the exclusive right to produce, distribute and exploit its copyrighted, original father-daughter baseball story through the fraud of all the Defendants/Co-Conspirators, starting with these false promises made by Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia.

292. The conduct of these two once upon a time entrusted confidants of Plaintiff Brooks and their making such false promises to Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and its predecessors in interest was malicious, oppressive in every sense, and fraudulent for the reasons alleged herein, thus justifying an award of punitive damages.

///

ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

(BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANTS HANDFIELD AND DIFIGLIA)

- 293. Gold Glove Productions repeats, alleges, and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint in paragraphs 1 through 200 as though fully set forth herein.
- 294. As alleged in the fourth claim for relief above, Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia entered into contracts with Gold Glove Productions' predecessors in interest. First was a written contract between Plaintiff Brooks and Defendant Handfield dated January 17, 2005. And later, a written contract between both Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia with Omaha, LLC.
- 295. Plaintiffs compensated Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia fully based on the terms of these contracts.
- 296. Defendants Handfield and DiFiglia unfairly interfered with Gold Glove Productions' right to receive the benefits of these contracts by failing to complete the polish on *Omaha* to a satisfactory level and by otherwise misappropriating and infringing Gold Glove Productions' copyrights and other associated rights in and to the unique father-daughter baseball story told in *Omaha*. Defendants/Co-Conspirators acted to deprive Gold Glove Productions of the essence of its bargained for rights under the aforementioned contracts, and did so by acting in the bad faith manner alleged herein. At all relevant times, Defendants/Co-Conspirators Handfield and DiFiglia acted in bad faith with an
- 297. Defendants' actions have caused and will continue to cause damages and irreparable harm to Gold Glove Productions, in amounts to be determined at trial but no less than tens of millions of dollars.

intent to act unfairly toward Gold Glove Productions and their contracts therewith.

1	TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2	<u>ACCOUNTING</u>
3	(BY PLAINTIFF GOLD GLOVE PRODUCTIONS AGAINST ALL
4	DEFENDANTS)
5	298. Gold Glove Productions repeats, alleges, and incorporates by
6	reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint in paragraphs 1
7	through 200 as though fully set forth herein.
8	299. Gold Glove Productions is entitled to a full and complete accounting
9	with respect to all revenues derived by Defendants in order to determine what
10	profits, royalties, and other compensation to which Gold Glove Productions is
11	entitled, and given that such illegally derived profits must be kept in a constructive
12	trust, and then accounted for in their entirety.
13	300. The precise amount of Hegally realized profits that Defendants/Co-
14	Conspirators have collected is unknown to Gold Glove Productions and cannot be
15	ascertained without an accounting. Gold Glove Productions is informed and
16	believes and thereon alleges that the amount owed to Gold Glove Productions
17	exceeds tens of millions of dollars.
18	CLOSING ALLEGATIONS
19	301. As said by F. Scott Fitzgerald in the <i>The Great Gatsby</i> :
20	"Who is he anyhow, an actor?"
21	'No.'
22	'A dentist?'
23	"No, he's a gambler." Gatsby hesitated, then added cooly: "He's the man
24	who fixed the World Series back in 1919.'
25	'Fixed the World Series?' I repeated.
26	The idea staggered me. I remembered, of course, that the World Series had
27	been fixed in 1919, but if I had thought of it at all I would have thought of it as
28	something that merely happened, the end of an inevitable chain. It never occurred

to me that one man could start to play with the faith of fifty million people -- with the singlemindedness of a burglar blowing a safe.

'How did he happen to do that?' I asked after a minute.

'He just saw the opportunity.'

'Why isn't he in jail?'

'They can't get him, old sport. He's a smart man."

[F. Scott Fitzgerald, *The Great Gatsby* (1925)]

302. In Hollywood and the literary World, cheating has been glorified. "They can't get him, old sport. He's a smart man," is a line said in reference to a cheater, one who cheats the rules others play by in society. In point of fact, those who cheat are not smart at all. The decision made by the Co-Conspirators in this case, when laid out in front of you, actually appear quite dumb. Taking such a unique work prepared for one production company, trying to camouflage it by the very hand of one who wrote the original work, and then assigning credit for this work to a man who knows nothing at all about it...and believing that no one will catch on, is just plain stupid. These cheaters will be run down, this time, old sport.

303. In the end, Plaintiff Brooks will take his bat and get up to the plate one more time and this time swing away for the enforcement of ethics and higher standards within his industry.



COMPLAINT

1	Productions, an award of statutory damages; and
2	12. For prejudgment interest at the highest legal rate from the date of the
3	infringement.
4	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: BREACH OF CONTRACT
5	13. For compensatory damages, in an amount in excess of tens of millions of
6	dollars, to be proven at trial; and
7	14. For prejudgment interest at the highest legal rate from the date of the
8	breach.
9	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH
10	PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE
11	15. For compensatory damages, in an amount in excess of tens of millions of
12	dollars to be proven at trial by Plaintiff Gold Glove Productions and Plaintiff
13	Brooks;
14	16. For prejudgment interest at the highest legal rate from the date of the
15	interference; and
16	17. For punitive damages allowed by law.
17	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: UNJUST ENRICHMENT
18	18. For compensatory damages, in an amount in excess of tens of millions of
19	dollars to be proven at trial; and
20	19. For prejudgment interest at the highest legal rate from the date of the unjust
21	enrichment.
22	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CONVERSION
23	20. For compensatory damages, in an amount in excess of tens of millions of
24	dollars to be proven at trial;
25	21. For prejudgment interest at the highest legal rate from the date of
26	conversion; and
27	22. For punitive damages allowed by law.
28	
	- 117 -

COMPLAINT

which Gold Glove Productions is entitled. ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 35. For costs of suit incurred; 36. Attorneys' fees, as allowed by law or contract; and 37. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable. October 1, 2013 LAW OFFICES OF GERARD FOX, INC. Gerard P. Fox June L. Quan Jeffrey Z. Liu Erika E. Morris Attorneys for Gold Glove Productions, LLC & Ryan A. Brooks - 119 -

COMPLAINT